

Analyzing the Yield and Attributional Features of the F₁ Hybrids Produced by the Parental Lines and their Tomato-producing Parents (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.)

Navneet Singh^{1*}, C.N. Ram², Vikash Singh¹ and G.C. Yadav²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (Uttar Pradesh), India

²Associate Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (Uttar Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: Navneet Singh*)

(Received 30 April 2022, Accepted 23 June, 2022)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: The current study set out to collect information about the genetic development of tomatoes based on the individual traits of the parents and their combinations. A line × testers mating strategy, consisting of eleven lines and three testers, produced 33 hybrids. At the Main Experimental Station (MES), Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) India, the genotypers were assessed in Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with three replications for eighteen yield and yield attributing traits during Rabi 2020-21 (Y₁) and 2021-22 (Y₂). The study evident that highly significant differences were observed for most of the traits under study. Based on *per se* performance, parent L₁₁ (1.84 Kg) exhibited highest fruit yield per plant followed by T₃ (1.84 Kg). The *per se* performance of crosses *i.e.* L₉×T₂ (2.88 Kg) followed by L₁₁×T₁ (2.87 Kg), L₄×T₁ (2.86 Kg) and L₁₁×T₂ (2.74 Kg) were produced significantly higher fruit yield per plant than the general mean. These hybrids may be exploited as new variety after selection and subjected to multi-locational trials for their release as cultivation on commercial scale.

Keywords: Evident, reciprocals, yield, hybrids.

INTRODUCTION

The tomato belongs to the Solanaceae family and the genus *Solanum*, with chromosome number 2n=2x=24. With a weak woody stem that frequently scrambles over other plants, it is planted as an annual herbaceous plant that can reach heights of up to 1-3 metres. It is a crop plant that reproduces sexually and has a tap root, perfect or complete blooms, and hypogynous flower. It is a day-neutral plant that forms compound inflorescences with four to eight blooms in each cluster. The stigma is surrounded by a thin protective anther cone that promotes self-pollination. *Solanum lycopersicum* was the name Linnaeus gave to the tomato when he classified it in the genus *Solanum* in 1753. It was given the name *Lycopersicon esculentum* by Philip Miller in 1768, who also assigned it to its own genus.

Western Southern America is the native home of all tomato species (Rick, 1976). The ancestor of the tomato was probably the wild cherry tomato, also known as *Lycopersicon esculentum* var. *cerasiforme*. Tomato varieties that are indeterminate and determinate are frequently recognised. Determinate or bush varieties grow to their highest point and harvest their entire yield at once. Because of their greater adaptability and versatility, indeterminate types of tomatoes develop into vines that never reach their maximum height and continue to produce flowers and fruits. They are grown

both outdoors and indoors all over the world, ranking second in importance only to potatoes in many nations, including India. India had a total area of 0.789 million hectares and produced 19.759 million tonnes at a productivity of 25.042 tonnes per hectare (Anonymous, 2018).

The top tomato-growing states in India include Karnataka, West Bengal, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Gujarat, and Bihar. The tomato is regarded as "Poor man's Orange" and is always used as "Protective Food." Fruits from tomatoes are consumed either raw or cooked. Large amounts of tomato are used to make a variety of processed foods such soup, juice, ketchup, puree, paste, and powder. Tomato soup is a tasty starter and is said to be a helpful treatment for persons with constipation. In addition to providing vitamin C, it gives meals a range of colours and flavours. Ripe tomatoes are used as a fresh salad vegetable whereas green tomatoes are utilised in pickles and preserves.

Tomatoes are renowned for their exceptional nutritional content, which is stated as follows: each 100g of tomato fruit that can be eaten has 93.10g of moisture, 3.60g of carbohydrates, 1.90g of protein, 0.10g of fat, 0.60g of minerals, 0.70g of fibres, 320 I.U. of vitamin "A," and 31mg of vitamin "C" (Ascorbic acid). Additionally beneficial as a medicine, tomatoes. Both the pulp and the juice, which both promote gastric output and cleanse the blood, are quickly metabolised. Oral cancer,

sour mouth, and other diseases, it is said, can be avoided with this. One of the healthiest veggies for keeping our colon and stomach healthy is this one. Vegetable supplies are insufficient compared to the population's daily needs given the population's ongoing growth. Though many genetic studies on tomatoes have been conducted, leading to the development of numerous tomato varieties and hybrids. Phenotypically superior lines may produce subpar recombinants in the segregating generations, so choosing ideal parents for hybridization only on the basis of phenotypic performance is not a sound procedure. The choice of parents must consequently be made based on their genetic potential.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Main Experimental Station, Department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (U.P.), India, during *Rabi*, 2020-21 (Y₁) and 2021-22 (Y₂). The experimental farm falls under humid subtropical climate and is located between 24.47° and 26.54°N latitude and 81.84° and 83.58°E longitude at an altitude of 98 m above mean sea level.

The experimental materials comprised of ten promising varieties of tomato selected on the basis of genetic variability from the germplasm stock maintained in the Department of Vegetable Science. The selected parental lines *i.e.* NDT-Sel-1, NDT-Sel-2, NDT-Sel-3, Narendra Tomato-4, NDT-Sel-5, NDT-Sel-6, Narendra Tomato-7, NDT-Sel-8, NDT-Sel-9, NDT-Sel-10 and T₆ were crossed Arka vikash, Kashi chayan and Kashi aman in all possible cross combinations during *Rabi* season of 2019-20 to get 33 F₁'s for the study of mean performance of parental line and their resultant F₁.

The experiments were grown in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with three replications to evaluate the performance of 33 F₁ hybrids and their 14 parental lines (11 line × 3 testers) of Tomato. The crop was sown in single row spaced at 60 cm apart with a plant to plant spacing of 50 cm.

A total of 18 economic and quality variables were observed, including days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), pericarp thickness (mm), number of locules per fruit, polar diameter of fruit (cm), equatorial diameter of fruit (cm), tss (°Brix), titrable acidity (%), and as (mg/100g fresh fruit). A method provided by Panse and Sukhatme was used to evaluate the *per se* performance of parents and hybrids. For eighteen yield and yield-contributing traits experimental variance analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection of suitable parents and proper breeding methodology are basic steps for the improvement of yield and attributing traits. The selection of parents having high *per se* performance would be of merit in producing better hybrids and hence the parents selected

for crossing programme were evaluated based on their *per se* performances. The most important trait fruit yield per plant and other quality traits result for pooled data are discussed below.

Perusal of Table 1 Pooled data revealed that the mean squares due to parent, line and crosses were found highly significant for all the traits. Tester showed highly significant for all character except days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit harvest, length of fruit and fruit diameter. The mean squares due to parents *vs.* hybrids also found significant for all the traits studied except for days to first fruit harvest, plant height, pericarp thickness, number of fruit per cluster, total sugar.

Perusal of (Table 2) in pooled, the results revealed significant variation among parents for the characters. Days to 50% flowering was ranged from 34.33 (T₃) and 38.00 days (NDT-Sel-1). Among hybrids, it ranged from 31.33 cm (L₈×T₃) to 38.67 cm (L₁×T₂) with an average of 32.38 cm. Among 33 hybrids, 14 hybrids showed significant superior mean performance than the standard check Kashi aman for days to 50% flowering and days to first fruit harvest among parent (59.00) days L₈ to (66.33) T₂ and 71.00 days (L₇×T₁) to (86.00) days L₆×T₃ in hybrid and average 63.29 days. Among 33 hybrids, 24 hybrids significantly superior for days to first fruit harvest when compared to best check kashi aman (63.83). Longer harvesting period was desirable for getting higher yields. The results are in accordance with the results of Ravindra Kumar *et al.*, (2012) and Shankar *et al.* (2014).

Plant height among parents ranged from (76.96) cm in L₁₀ and (106.63) cm in L₄. The average for hybrids was 89.05 cm, while the range for L₆×T₁ to L₅×T₃ hybrids was 80.75 cm to 98.92 cm. Maximum plant heights were measured for three hybrids: L₅×T₃ (98.92), L₄×T₃ (98.82), and L₄×T₂ (98.28). Results are confirmed with earlier reports Sujeet Kumar and Ramanjini Gowda (2016). The numbers of primary branches per plant parents ranged from (3.40) in L₁₀ to (6.16) in T₂. Among the crosses, it ranged from (3.61) in L₇ × T₁ to (5.76) in L₆ × T₃ with an average of 4.41. Among 33 hybrids, 15 hybrids showed significant superior mean performance than the standard check Kashi aman for number of branches per plant. The present result getting support from the findings of Shankar *et al.*, (2014) and Sujeetkumar and Ramanjini Gowda (2016). Pericarp thickness of fruit it ranged from (0.29) cm L₂ to (0.68) cm L₆ in parent, among the hybrid (0.37) cm L₂×T₃ to (0.59) cm L₇×T₂ with an average of 0.49. Among 33 hybrids, 27 hybrids showed significant superior mean performance than the standard check Kashi aman for pericarp thickness. The number of fruit per clusters ranged from (3.50) in L₉ to 4.88 L₁. Among hybrids, this character was ranged from (3.12) in L₉ × T₃ to (4.53) in L₇ × T₃ with an average of 4.03 hybrids showed significant superior mean performance than the standard check Kashi aman for fruit per clusters. Results for number of fruit per clusters are in agreement with result of Vilas *et al.*, (2015) Number of fruits per plant was ranged from (14.85) in L₃ to (36.73) in T₂ with an average of 21.76 among parents.

In hybrids, the number of fruits per plant was ranged from 23.38 in (L₃×T₃) to 37.65 in (L₁₁×T₁) with an average of 28.42. Among 33 hybrids, 9 hybrids were showed significantly more number of fruits per plant in comparison with best check Kashi aman. These results are in agreement with the previous findings of Vilas *et al.*, (2015); Sujeet Kumar and Ramanjini Gowda (2016). Higher mean value for number of fruits per plant was recorded in (37.65) in L₁₁×T₁, (34.53) in L₁₁×T₂ and (33.62) in L₁₁×T₃. Five hybrids, L₁×T₁ (95.96g), L₁×T₁ (95.13g), L₉×T₂, (91.51g) L₉×T₃, (89.57g) and (89.23g) L₂×T₂ recorded higher fruit weight compared to best check kasha aman (75.63g). Gul *et al.* (2010); Ravindra Kumar *et al.* (2012); Sujeet and Ramanjini Gowda (2016) are also reported the similar results. Polar diameter of fruit was ranged from (5.35 cm) in T₃ to (6.46 cm) L₇ in parent and (6.58 cm) L₄×T₃ to (4.90 cm) L₃×T₃ in hybrid, with an average of 5.71 cm. Twenty three hybrids were significant and superior with respect to polar diameter (cm) compared to best check kashi aman (5.35 cm). Equatorial diameter of fruit was ranged from (3.46 cm) L₆×T₂ to (5.44 cm) L₂×T₂ in hybrid and (4.15 cm) L₆ to (5.45 cm) L₂ in parent, with an average of 4.54 cm. Twenty five hybrids were significant and superior with respect to equatorial diameter (cm) compared to best check kashi aman (5.35 cm). The results are in close conformity with the findings of Gul *et al.* (2010); Sunil *et al.* (2013). The total fruit yield per plant was high in (3.15 kg) in T₂. Among hybrids, this character was ranged from (1.72 kg) in L₁₀×T₁ and (2.90 kg) L₄×T₁ with an average mean 2.06 kg. Five hybrids are high yield found (2.90 kg) in L₄×T₁, (2.88 kg) L₉×T₂, (2.87 kg) in L₁₁×T₁, (2.81 kg) in L₁×T₁, (2.74 kg) in L₁₁×T₂. The present findings are in accordance with the results of Basavaraj *et al.* (2016); Sujeetkumar and Ramanjini Gowda (2016). Marketable fruit yield per plant ranged from parent (0.84 kg) in L₃ and (1.43) in T₂. Among hybrids,

this character was ranged from (0.92 kg) in L₃×T₁ to (1.66 kg) in L₁₀×T₂ with an average mean 1.12 kg. Best hybrids L₁₀×T₂ (1.66 kg), L₁₀×T₁ (1.55 kg), L₁₀×T₃ (1.30 kg), L₁₁×T₃ (1.25) were recorded high marketable fruit yield per plant compared to best check kasha aman (1.04). TSS ranged from parent (5.22) in L₁₁ to (6.70) in L₃, with a mean 5.42. Among 33 hybrids, 19 hybrids showed significantly superior TSS (°Brix) compared to best check kashi aman (5.42). Present findings are in accordance with the reports of Shankar *et al.* (2014); Basavaraj *et al.* (2016). Titrable acidity ranged from (0.36) in T₃ to (0.55) in L₇ with a mean 0.45 and hybrids ranged from (0.35) L₁₀×T₁ to (0.57) L₇×T₂ and mean 0.43. All hybrids showed significant for all character except cross L₁₀×T₁ is non-significant compare to the check variety. Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) content is nutritionally an important constituent. Among the parent ranged from (17.23) in T₃ and (22.50) L₉ genotypes are generally richer in ascorbic acid content. Among hybrids, it ranged from (16.62) L₁×T₁ in (21.8) L₉×T₂ with an average of 19.07. Twenty nine best hybrids *viz.*, were showed significant and superior values for ascorbic acid content compared best check Kashi aman the results are in agreement the results of Shankar *et al.* (2014); Basavaraj *et al.* (2016). Total sugar ranged from (3.28) in L₃ to (3.94) in T₂ with a mean (3.59) and hybrids ranged from (3.38) L₉×T₂ to (3.83) L₄×T₂ and mean 3.60. All hybrids showed significant for all character except cross L₇×T₂, L₉×T₂, L₉×T₃, L₁₀×T₃ is non-significant compare to the check variety. Among parents lycopene (mg/100g) ranged from (2.50) in L₈ and (3.7) in T₂. Among hybrids, it ranged from (2.39) L₄×T₃ in to (3.61) in L₄×T₂ with an average of 2.93. Thirteen best hybrids *viz.*, were showed significant and superior values for lycopene compared best check Kashi aman (3.13). The present results are getting support from the findings of Shankar *et al.* (2014); Basavaraj *et al.* (2016).

Table 1: Analysis of variance (Mean sum of square) for eighteen characters of line × tester set of crosses and their parents in tomato (Y₁=2020-21 and Y₂=2021-22) & Pooled.

Source of variation	Year	DF	Days to 50% flowering	Days to First Fruit harvest	Plant height	Primary Branches/Plant	Pericarp thickness	Locules/Fruit	Fruit /Cluster	Fruit/Plant	Avg. Fruit weight
Replicates	Y ₁	2	14.05	7.37	113.55**	0.22	0.00	0.19**	0.03	4.64	866.75**
	Y ₂	2	15.24**	3.77	42.12	0.325	6.80	235.99**	0.002*	0.19**	1.57**
	Pooled	2	18.52**	0.76	73.26**	0.004	0.001*	0.118**	0.460**	5.522*	482.05**
Treatments	Y ₁	46	17.82	22.89	220.62**	2.27**	0.03**	0.60**	0.55**	110.68**	484.40**
	Y ₂	46	13.56**	22.86**	151.53**	1.475**	116.98**	527.68**	0.025**	0.63**	0.41**
	Pooled	46	24.84**	23.64**	164.80**	1.706**	0.026**	0.568**	0.435**	112.522**	503.69**
Parents	Y ₁	13	22.23	11.05	370.61**	3.09**	0.06**	1.19**	0.77**	190.72**	1037.67**
	Y ₂	13	17.15**	16.49**	269.77**	1.784**	194.68**	1076.08**	0.052**	1.18**	0.47**
	Pooled	13	37.80**	15.47**	294.80**	2.027**	0.057**	1.147**	0.537**	191.183**	1055.93**
Parents (Line)	Y ₁	10	26.96	2.76	446.72**	0.41**	0.08**	1.47**	0.85**	60.35**	1288.70**
	Y ₂	10	21.85**	21.27**	324.24**	0.455**	38.84**	1340.04**	0.065**	1.32**	0.45**
	Pooled	10	45.00**	15.31**	379.72**	0.392**	0.071**	1.358**	0.546**	48.053**	1313.12**
Parents (Testers)	Y ₁	2	4.11	16.78	174.13**	7.27**	0.01**	0.38**	0.73**	23.74**	78.65
	Y ₂	2	2.11	0.78	131.50**	1.085**	19.80**	79.02**	0.010**	1.05**	0.79**
	Pooled	2	5.78**	5.25	1.763**	1.756**	0.011**	0.670**	0.762**	20.601**	78.79**
Parents (L vs T)	Y ₁	1	11.09	82.43	2.40	21.52**	0.02**	0.01	0.02	1828.36**	445.42**
	Y ₂	1	0.21	0.09	1.67	16.471**	2102.76**	430.58**	0.007**	0.04	0.01
	Pooled	1	29.88**	37.51**	0.02	18.921**	0.011**	0.00	0.00	1963.643**	438.24**
Parents vs	Y ₁	1	0.02	12.11	1.01	6.28**	0.00	1.88**	0.41*	1264.98**	391.66**

Crosses	Y ₂	1	13.65*	5.4	59.64	5.131**	1349.72**	615.61**	0.00	6.41**	1.03**
	Pooled	1	52.34***	3.25	11.27	5.693**	0.00	3.826**	0.03	1306.616**	497.29**
Crosses	Y ₁	32	16.58	28.04	166.55**	1.81**	0.02**	0.32**	0.47**	42.10**	262.53**
	Y ₂	32	12.09**	25.99**	106.37**	1.236**	46.89**	302.14**	0.014**	0.22**	0.37**
	Pooled	32	18.71**	27.60**	116.78**	1.451**	0.014**	0.231**	0.406**	43.251**	279.55**
Error	Y ₁	92	3.35	8.18	18.25	0.08	0.00	0.03	0.08	2.31	25.87
	Y ₂	92	3.03	2.55	21.73	0.16	2.86	23.61	0.00	0.01	0.08
	Pooled	92	0.07	1.89	10.77	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.04	1.33	22.38

Conti.....

Source of variation	Year	DF	Length of Fruit	Diameter of Fruit	Total fruit yield/Plant	Marketable fruit yield/Plant	Total soluble solids	Titration Acidity	Ascorbic acid content	Total Sugar	Lycopene	
Replicates	Y ₁	2	0.21	0.19	0.88**	0.00	0.11	0.002*	0.84*	0.036**	0.097*	
	Y ₂	2	1.62**	1.19**	0.00	0.30**	0.11	0.00	2.41*	0.09*	0.02	
	Pooled	2	0.681**	0.203**	0.521**	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.591*	0.032*	0.02	
Treatments	Y ₁	46	0.85**	0.75**	0.94**	0.14**	1.26**	0.019**	7.65**	0.039**	0.363**	
	Y ₂	46	0.65**	0.71**	0.10**	0.98**	1.20**	0.01**	6.66**	0.13**	0.53**	
	Pooled	46	0.649**	0.724**	0.950**	0.1115**	1.223**	0.0144**	6.381**	0.063**	0.387**	
Parents	Y ₁	13	0.86**	0.88**	1.46**	0.19**	0.71**	0.028**	10.50**	0.061**	0.587**	
	Y ₂	13	0.68**	0.81**	0.12**	1.48**	0.61**	0.01**	7.96**	0.19**	0.34**	
	Pooled	13	0.605**	0.833**	1.464**	0.1424**	0.653**	0.0184**	8.575**	0.100**	0.402**	
Parents (Line)	Y ₁	10	0.83**	1.03**	0.39**	0.15**	0.60**	0.028**	8.86**	0.039**	0.203**	
	Y ₂	10	0.72**	1.01**	0.14**	0.31**	0.57**	0.01**	8.85**	0.18**	0.17**	
	Pooled	10	0.583**	1.016**	0.344**	0.1398**	0.588**	0.0180**	8.384**	0.079**	0.144**	
Parents (Testers)	Y ₁	2	0.03	0.12	1.39**	0.31**	0.24*	0.00	6.35**	0.120**	0.757**	
	Y ₂	2	0.28	0.12	0.06**	1.37**	0.07	0.00	1.99	0.23**	0.07	
	Pooled	2	0.12	0.11	1.370**	0.1180**	0.143**	0.0007*	3.095**	0.173**	0.275**	
Parents (L vs T)	Y ₁	1	2.87**	0.86**	12.26**	0.40**	2.72**	0.084**	35.20**	0.162**	4.084**	
	Y ₂	1	1.01**	0.17	0.10**	13.39**	1.99**	0.03**	11.07**	0.17	2.53**	
	Pooled	1	1.795**	0.444**	12.853**	0.2167**	2.324**	0.0574**	21.444**	0.169**	3.246**	
Parents vs Crosses	Y ₁	1	3.04**	0.88**	10.77**	0.05*	17.95**	0.014**	28.82**	0.055**	0.274**	
	Y ₂	1	4.12**	1.43**	0.05*	12.79**	0.00	16.26**	0.00	16.04**	0.02	0.74**
	Pooled	1	3.573**	1.139**	11.727**	0.0438**	17.109**	0.0036**	21.942**	0.00	0.471**	
Crosses	Y ₁	32	0.77**	0.70**	0.42**	0.12**	0.96**	0.015**	5.84**	0.029**	0.275**	
	Y ₂	32	0.52**	0.65**	0.09**	0.41**	0.97**	0.01**	5.83**	0.11**	0.60**	
	Pooled	32	0.575**	0.666**	0.404**	0.1011**	0.958**	0.0132**	5.003**	0.050**	0.379*	
Error	Y ₁	92	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.03	
	Y ₂	92	0.12	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.70	0.02	0.03	
	Pooled	92	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.18	0.01	0.02	

Table 2: Mean performance, general mean, range, coefficient of variation, critical difference and standard error for eighteen characters of line × tester set of 33 F1's and their 14 parents (Y₁=2020-21 and Y₂=2021-22) and Pooled.

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Days to 50% flowering			Days to first fruit harvest			Plant height			No. of primary branches per plant		
		Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
1.	L1×T1	35.33	36.00	35.50	63.67	60.00	62.17	85.16	82.48	83.82	3.88	3.80	3.84
2.	L1×T2	31.33	32.33	31.50	65.33	65.67	65.83	100.21	87.50	93.86	5.81	5.70	5.75
3.	L1×T3	32.67	33.67	32.50	65.00	66.00	65.33	97.84	95.84	96.84	4.83	4.73	4.78
4.	L2×T1	31.67	32.67	31.50	62.67	63.33	63.00	83.80	82.56	83.18	2.91	3.52	3.22
5.	L2×T2	34.00	35.00	34.50	71.00	70.67	70.50	97.58	84.59	91.08	4.67	4.58	4.62
6.	L2×T3	33.33	33.67	31.50	63.67	63.67	64.17	94.39	92.61	93.50	5.82	5.70	5.76
7.	L3×T1	31.67	33.00	31.50	63.00	62.00	62.17	80.68	82.47	81.57	3.88	4.10	3.99
8.	L3×T2	32.33	33.33	31.50	62.00	64.33	64.33	81.45	82.52	81.98	4.85	4.75	4.80
9.	L3×T3	32.33	33.33	30.50	63.00	61.00	60.83	85.36	85.74	85.55	5.82	5.70	5.76
10.	L4×T1	31.67	33.00	34.50	62.67	65.00	65.17	98.58	96.68	97.63	3.88	3.80	3.84
11.	L4×T2	32.33	33.00	35.50	63.00	61.33	60.83	98.22	98.34	98.28	4.52	4.43	4.47
12.	L4×T3	32.33	33.33	34.50	63.67	63.67	63.50	100.40	97.23	98.82	4.84	4.74	4.79
13.	L5×T1	34.67	35.00	37.00	62.33	62.33	62.67	91.85	88.15	90.00	3.88	4.02	3.95
14.	L5×T2	34.33	35.33	36.00	63.33	64.33	63.67	95.39	93.85	94.62	4.35	4.52	4.43
15.	L5×T3	31.33	32.67	34.50	61.67	62.67	62.50	100.21	97.63	98.92	4.85	4.75	4.80
16.	L6×T1	32.67	33.33	34.50	62.67	63.33	63.17	80.24	81.26	80.75	3.87	3.79	3.83
17.	L6×T2	33.33	34.33	35.50	64.00	65.00	64.33	84.42	82.51	83.47	4.58	4.54	4.56
18.	L6×T3	29.00	30.00	31.50	74.67	72.33	73.67	87.60	84.55	86.08	5.82	5.70	5.76
19.	L7×T1	30.00	31.33	32.50	62.00	62.67	62.50	80.54	82.39	81.47	3.52	3.71	3.61
20.	L7×T2	30.67	31.67	33.50	61.67	62.00	61.83	83.69	84.55	84.12	5.72	5.61	5.66
21.	L7×T3	30.67	31.67	33.50	62.67	63.00	63.33	86.93	82.40	84.67	3.54	3.73	3.64
22.	L8×T1	27.67	28.67	30.50	60.00	60.67	60.50	80.81	88.53	84.67	4.53	4.04	4.29

23.	L8×T2	28.67	30.00	31.00	57.00	57.33	56.83	86.66	92.62	89.64	5.14	4.68	4.91
24.	L8×T3	29.67	30.67	32.50	63.00	63.33	63.50	90.51	80.66	85.58	5.46	4.35	4.91
25.	L9×T1	31.33	32.00	32.50	64.00	64.00	63.83	80.57	83.57	82.07	3.88	3.75	3.82
26.	L9×T2	31.33	33.00	34.50	62.67	63.33	63.17	98.86	85.84	92.35	4.85	4.75	4.80
27.	L9×T3	32.33	33.33	35.50	58.33	58.33	57.83	86.93	81.65	84.30	4.53	4.45	4.49
28.	L10×T1	29.00	31.33	30.50	62.00	64.67	62.17	80.24	82.86	81.55	3.72	3.65	3.68
29.	L10×T2	25.67	28.00	26.50	62.67	62.67	61.17	81.15	86.06	83.60	4.54	4.43	4.49
30.	L10×T3	32.33	33.33	34.50	63.67	60.00	62.33	86.33	92.22	89.27	5.18	4.74	4.96
31.	L11×T1	31.00	32.00	33.50	62.67	64.00	63.83	97.73	92.38	95.05	4.86	4.40	4.63
32.	L11×T2	25.33	28.00	26.00	63.67	65.00	64.67	97.73	94.51	96.12	3.87	4.07	3.97
33.	L11×T3	28.00	29.33	30.50	59.67	60.00	60.00	97.61	98.39	98.00	5.47	4.60	5.04
1	L1	34.67	36.33	33.00	63.67	63.67	63.00	98.21	96.34	97.27	4.33	4.37	4.35
2	L2	35.67	37.33	39.00	63.00	63.33	63.50	90.96	86.33	88.65	4.17	3.68	3.92
3	L3	27.67	29.67	26.00	61.33	61.00	60.67	75.45	78.52	76.98	3.87	3.65	3.76
4	L4	30.33	32.33	30.00	63.00	63.00	62.50	106.84	106.42	106.63	3.47	3.47	3.47
5	L5	28.67	30.67	28.00	63.00	65.67	65.50	104.83	103.89	104.36	3.53	3.38	3.46
6	L6	33.00	35.00	32.00	64.00	61.00	60.50	79.77	81.67	80.72	3.63	3.50	3.57
7	L7	31.33	33.33	33.00	62.67	69.00	65.50	80.76	84.68	82.72	3.60	3.51	3.56
8	L8	26.67	30.33	27.00	61.33	59.67	59.00	85.32	84.87	85.10	4.40	4.56	4.48
9	L9	29.67	31.67	30.00	63.33	65.00	65.00	81.24	82.67	81.96	3.60	3.84	3.72
10	L10	29.00	31.00	28.00	64.00	66.00	65.50	75.37	78.55	76.96	3.40	3.40	3.40
11	L11	34.00	36.00	35.00	64.00	63.00	62.67	104.39	101.23	102.81	3.43	3.73	3.58
1	T1	33.33	33.67	34.17	69.00	63.33	65.83	82.16	92.11	87.14	6.17	4.57	5.37
2	T2	32.33	33.00	33.50	66.00	63.67	66.33	90.34	93.63	91.98	6.63	5.68	6.16
3	T3	31.00	32.00	31.50	64.33	64.33	63.83	97.38	81.48	89.43	3.73	5.53	4.63
	Mean	31.22	33.67	32.38	63.31	77.08	63.29	89.63	88.46	89.05	4.46	4.36	4.41
	C.V.	5.87	5.35	0.83	4.52	2.52	2.17	4.77	5.27	3.69	6.28	9.28	5.65
	S.Em	1.06	1.01	0.16	1.65	0.92	0.79	2.47	2.69	1.89	0.16	0.23	0.14
	C.D. 5%	3.41	2.82	0.44	5.74	2.59	2.23	6.93	7.56	5.32	0.45	0.66	0.40
	C.D. 1%	4.51	3.74	0.58	7.60	3.43	2.95	9.18	10.01	7.05	0.60	0.87	0.53
	Max	35.67	37.33	39.00	74.67	72.33	73.67	106.84	106.42	106.63	6.63	5.70	6.16
	Min	25.33	28.00	26.00	57.00	57.33	56.83	75.37	78.52	76.96	2.91	3.38	3.22

Conti...

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Number of fruits per cluster			Number of fruits per plant			Average fruit weight (g)			Pericarp thickness (mm)		
		Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
1.	L1×T1	4.48	4.02	4.25	28.39	25.53	26.96	94.48	97.43	95.96	0.36	0.39	0.37
2.	L1×T2	4.56	4.41	4.49	31.88	33.86	32.87	93.20	97.05	95.13	0.45	0.49	0.47
3.	L1×T3	4.46	4.02	4.23	25.56	23.31	24.43	87.31	87.58	87.45	0.37	0.35	0.36
4.	L2×T1	3.88	3.76	3.82	26.88	26.72	26.80	87.86	90.60	89.23	0.33	0.37	0.35
5.	L2×T2	4.14	4.02	4.08	31.94	34.13	33.04	92.22	93.30	92.76	0.45	0.55	0.50
6.	L2×T3	3.92	3.80	3.86	24.25	23.68	23.96	86.97	88.22	87.59	0.36	0.38	0.37
7.	L3×T1	3.88	3.75	3.82	26.95	25.40	26.18	77.07	82.20	79.64	0.54	0.41	0.48
8.	L3×T2	3.86	3.74	3.80	27.80	26.83	27.31	77.64	78.28	77.96	0.57	0.50	0.54
9.	L3×T3	3.64	3.53	3.59	23.21	23.55	23.38	77.38	79.13	78.26	0.54	0.52	0.53
10.	L4×T1	3.91	3.79	3.85	32.93	34.04	33.49	86.24	88.51	87.38	0.45	0.48	0.46
11.	L4×T2	4.08	3.96	4.02	29.26	28.55	28.90	85.54	90.72	88.13	0.54	0.51	0.53
12.	L4×T3	3.85	3.85	3.85	28.21	28.16	28.18	87.68	85.35	86.51	0.43	0.36	0.40
13.	L5×T1	4.12	4.00	4.06	26.28	24.79	25.54	67.19	70.00	68.59	0.46	0.45	0.45
14.	L5×T2	4.15	4.12	4.13	30.97	29.59	30.28	68.36	64.68	66.52	0.47	0.46	0.46
15.	L5×T3	3.23	3.28	3.26	24.18	24.60	24.39	68.50	69.18	68.84	0.46	0.45	0.45
16.	L6×T1	4.15	4.04	4.10	26.80	26.36	26.58	68.58	70.81	69.69	0.53	0.44	0.48
17.	L6×T2	4.23	4.20	4.21	31.94	31.42	31.68	69.29	71.92	70.61	0.60	0.58	0.59
18.	L6×T3	4.21	4.06	4.14	23.21	24.51	23.86	69.72	70.79	70.25	0.56	0.54	0.55
19.	L7×T1	4.85	4.80	4.83	27.41	26.66	27.04	67.88	67.72	67.80	0.57	0.47	0.52
20.	L7×T2	3.85	3.84	3.85	32.73	33.67	33.20	69.84	73.19	71.51	0.60	0.58	0.59
21.	L7×T3	4.65	4.41	4.53	25.22	25.81	25.52	69.84	70.31	70.07	0.55	0.53	0.54
22.	L8×T1	4.85	4.72	4.79	27.79	25.41	26.60	78.04	80.03	79.04	0.54	0.52	0.53
23.	L8×T2	4.85	4.41	4.63	29.03	27.42	28.23	77.10	81.37	79.24	0.58	0.59	0.58
24.	L8×T3	3.88	3.76	3.82	25.26	24.95	25.11	77.80	81.21	79.50	0.55	0.56	0.56
25.	L9×T1	3.86	3.74	3.80	28.21	27.31	27.76	89.11	91.59	90.35	0.44	0.48	0.46
26.	L9×T2	3.89	3.77	3.83	31.52	32.41	31.97	90.60	92.42	91.51	0.45	0.47	0.46
27.	L9×T3	3.17	3.07	3.12	22.24	23.76	23.00	88.35	90.78	89.57	0.45	0.49	0.47
28.	L10×T1	4.20	4.07	4.14	27.09	25.61	26.35	65.22	63.36	64.29	0.56	0.57	0.56
29.	L10×T2	4.19	4.06	4.13	32.91	31.42	32.16	67.99	70.33	69.16	0.59	0.57	0.58
30.	L10×T3	3.98	3.86	3.92	27.09	27.32	27.20	68.58	70.47	69.52	0.56	0.56	0.56
31.	L11×T1	3.85	3.73	3.79	37.76	37.54	37.65	74.64	75.97	75.30	0.47	0.45	0.46
32.	L11×T2	4.16	4.04	4.10	35.65	33.41	34.53	80.14	81.28	80.71	0.46	0.48	0.46
33.	L11×T3	3.88	3.76	3.82	33.34	33.89	33.62	68.79	68.79	68.79	0.45	0.47	0.46
1	L1	4.92	4.85	4.88	16.29	16.91	16.60	99.87	102.27	101.07	0.29	0.30	0.30
2	L2	3.94	3.86	3.90	16.15	15.56	15.85	98.24	99.98	99.11	0.27	0.31	0.29
3	L3	3.38	3.76	3.57	15.21	14.49	14.85	69.65	68.05	68.85	0.61	0.60	0.61
4	L4	3.59	3.67	3.63	18.20	16.44	17.32	93.57	94.02	93.80	0.48	0.47	0.48

5	L5	4.22	4.28	4.25	16.66	16.33	16.50	57.69	58.66	58.18	0.49	0.48	0.49
6	L6	4.49	4.30	4.40	15.83	17.39	16.61	53.58	55.34	54.46	0.69	0.67	0.68
7	L7	3.90	3.80	3.85	19.90	19.33	19.61	51.59	51.25	51.42	0.65	0.63	0.64
8	L8	4.53	4.42	4.48	18.39	17.61	18.00	68.05	66.90	67.47	0.63	0.62	0.62
9	L9	3.21	3.79	3.50	15.37	13.52	14.45	98.38	99.98	99.18	0.38	0.36	0.37
10	L10	4.07	4.15	4.11	22.17	21.46	21.81	47.19	48.71	47.95	0.68	0.67	0.67
11	L11	3.54	4.58	4.06	30.51	26.43	28.47	63.10	64.39	63.74	0.34	0.34	0.34
1	T1	4.01	4.27	4.14	36.51	35.42	35.97	81.59	81.97	81.78	0.41	0.43	0.42
2	T2	4.37	4.61	4.49	36.10	37.36	36.73	85.40	86.22	85.81	0.53	0.53	0.53
3	T3	3.39	3.60	3.50	31.45	32.27	31.86	75.26	76.00	75.63	0.42	0.43	0.43
	Mean	4.05	4.01	4.03	26.65	26.22	26.43	77.07	78.47	77.77	0.49	0.49	0.49
	C.V.	6.80	6.96	4.73	5.70	6.45	4.36	6.60	6.19	6.08	5.38	4.54	3.61
	S.E	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.88	0.98	0.67	2.94	2.81	2.73	0.02	0.01	0.01
	C.D. 5%	0.45	0.45	0.31	2.46	2.74	1.87	8.25	7.88	7.67	0.04	0.04	0.03
	C.D. 1%	0.59	0.60	0.41	3.26	3.63	2.48	10.92	10.43	10.16	0.06	0.05	0.04
	Max	4.92	4.85	4.88	37.76	37.54	37.65	99.87	102.27	101.07	0.69	0.67	0.68
	Min	3.17	3.07	3.12	15.21	13.52	14.45	47.19	48.71	47.95	0.27	0.30	0.29

Conti....

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Number of locules per fruit			Polar diameter (cm)			Equitorial diameter (cm)			Total fruit yield per plant (kg)		
		Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
1	L1×T1	3.11	3.32	3.21	5.24	5.08	5.16	4.71	4.51	4.61	2.56	1.00	2.53
2	L1×T2	3.31	3.23	3.27	5.67	5.51	5.59	4.58	4.44	4.51	2.72	1.38	2.81
3	L1×T3	3.45	3.39	3.42	5.42	5.18	5.30	5.26	5.06	5.16	2.20	1.21	2.16
4	L2×T1	3.58	3.48	3.53	6.45	6.01	6.23	5.37	5.21	5.29	2.37	1.33	2.44
5	L2×T2	3.68	3.58	3.63	6.32	6.13	6.23	5.53	5.34	5.44	2.71	1.43	2.64
6	L2×T3	3.78	3.68	3.73	6.30	6.11	6.21	4.69	4.55	4.62	2.12	1.25	2.14
7	L3×T1	3.04	3.34	3.19	5.39	5.04	5.22	4.70	4.56	4.63	2.08	0.97	2.12
8	L3×T2	3.10	3.13	3.11	5.41	5.25	5.33	4.56	4.42	4.49	2.15	0.98	2.17
9	L3×T3	3.78	3.66	3.72	4.97	4.82	4.90	4.21	4.08	4.14	1.80	0.94	1.87
10	L4×T1	3.39	3.31	3.35	6.58	5.89	6.24	4.49	4.36	4.42	3.16	2.56	2.86
11	L4×T2	3.29	3.23	3.26	6.49	6.30	6.39	4.56	4.42	4.49	2.51	1.01	2.59
12	L4×T3	3.78	3.67	3.72	6.68	6.48	6.58	4.67	4.53	4.60	2.48	1.07	2.48
13	L5×T1	3.68	3.57	3.62	6.22	6.03	6.13	4.36	4.48	4.42	1.68	0.99	1.74
14	L5×T2	3.97	3.85	3.91	6.11	5.93	6.02	4.56	4.42	4.49	2.12	0.97	2.05
15	L5×T3	2.81	2.89	2.85	5.88	5.70	5.79	4.57	4.43	4.50	1.66	1.09	1.71
16	L6×T1	2.71	2.90	2.81	5.18	5.02	5.10	3.78	3.67	3.72	1.84	1.11	1.89
17	L6×T2	3.27	3.17	3.22	5.34	5.18	5.26	3.51	3.40	3.46	2.22	1.17	2.27
18	L6×T3	3.18	3.08	3.13	5.35	5.19	5.27	4.66	4.50	4.58	1.62	1.24	1.71
19	L7×T1	3.01	2.92	2.96	5.62	5.45	5.53	4.52	4.38	4.45	1.87	0.93	1.87
20	L7×T2	3.17	3.14	3.16	5.61	5.44	5.53	4.68	4.63	4.66	2.28	0.99	2.41
21	L7×T3	3.14	3.05	3.09	5.58	5.41	5.50	4.65	4.51	4.58	1.76	0.96	1.82
22	L8×T1	3.13	3.04	3.08	5.53	6.30	5.91	5.28	5.12	5.20	2.17	1.08	2.14
23	L8×T2	3.19	3.09	3.14	5.36	5.20	5.28	5.45	5.29	5.37	2.24	0.97	2.27
24	L8×T3	3.68	3.57	3.62	5.48	5.32	5.40	4.58	4.44	4.51	1.91	1.12	2.00
25	L9×T1	3.39	3.29	3.34	5.67	5.50	5.58	4.43	4.30	4.36	2.52	0.99	2.54
26	L9×T2	3.47	3.37	3.42	5.44	5.37	5.40	4.56	4.42	4.49	2.84	1.06	2.88
27	L9×T3	3.68	3.57	3.62	5.75	5.58	5.66	4.32	4.19	4.26	1.96	1.03	2.10
28	L10×T1	3.17	3.14	3.16	5.37	5.21	5.29	3.61	3.50	3.56	1.76	1.44	1.72
29	L10×T2	3.68	3.57	3.62	5.58	5.41	5.50	3.59	3.48	3.54	2.24	1.67	2.26
30	L10×T3	3.78	3.67	3.72	4.69	5.52	5.10	4.27	4.14	4.20	1.86	1.35	1.93
31	L11×T1	3.81	2.93	3.37	5.93	5.78	5.86	4.39	4.37	4.38	2.82	1.12	2.87
32	L11×T2	3.81	2.92	3.36	5.44	5.57	5.50	4.40	4.60	4.50	2.76	1.13	2.74
33	L11×T3	3.16	3.10	3.13	4.65	5.48	5.06	4.47	4.34	4.40	2.26	1.23	2.32
1	L1	3.07	3.69	3.38	6.57	5.97	6.27	5.33	5.30	5.32	1.78	1.52	1.65
2	L2	4.57	4.62	4.59	6.55	6.36	6.45	5.53	5.36	5.45	1.59	0.98	1.62
3	L3	3.87	3.85	3.85	5.56	5.40	5.48	4.64	4.73	4.69	1.06	0.90	1.05
4	L4	3.67	3.56	3.61	6.63	6.43	6.53	5.50	5.34	5.42	1.70	0.97	1.67
5	L5	4.53	4.49	4.51	5.34	6.65	6.00	4.61	4.47	4.54	0.96	0.91	0.99
6	L6	2.83	3.02	2.92	6.05	5.87	5.96	4.21	4.08	4.15	0.85	1.12	0.91
7	L7	3.73	3.82	3.78	6.56	6.37	6.46	4.64	4.50	4.57	1.02	0.93	1.03
8	L8	3.07	3.36	3.22	5.68	5.39	5.54	5.35	5.19	5.27	1.25	1.13	1.24
9	L9	4.13	4.30	4.22	6.31	6.44	6.37	4.79	4.65	4.72	1.51	0.93	1.48
10	L10	4.13	4.40	4.26	6.61	6.03	6.32	3.68	3.57	3.62	1.05	1.60	1.07
11	L11	2.47	2.48	2.47	5.39	5.23	5.30	4.43	4.29	4.36	1.93	1.29	1.84
1	T1	4.00	4.22	4.11	5.44	5.68	5.57	4.46	4.64	4.55	2.98	1.30	2.97
2	T2	3.77	3.84	3.81	5.60	5.91	5.76	4.63	4.64	4.64	3.08	1.22	3.15
3	T3	3.30	3.06	3.18	5.39	5.31	5.35	4.24	4.29	4.27	1.96	1.73	1.84
	Mean	3.47	3.44	3.46	5.75	5.67	5.71	4.60	4.49	4.54	2.03	1.12	2.06
	C.V.	5.12	2.92	3.36	5.91	6.00	4.26	5.71	6.44	4.42	9.82	7.70	8.28
	S.E	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.20	0.20	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.10
	C.D. 5%	0.29	0.16	0.19	0.55	0.55	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.33	0.32	0.14	0.28

	C.D. 1%	0.38	0.22	0.25	0.73	0.73	0.52	0.56	0.62	0.43	0.43	0.19	0.37
	Max	4.57	4.62	4.59	6.68	6.65	6.58	5.53	5.36	5.45	3.08	1.67	3.15
	Min	2.47	2.48	2.47	4.65	4.82	4.90	3.51	3.40	3.46	0.85	0.90	0.91

Conti...

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Marketable fruit yield per plant			Total soluble solid (TSS)			Titrable acidity (%)			Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)		
		Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
1	L1×T1	1.06	2.49	1.03	5.32	5.22	5.27	0.37	0.38	0.38	16.02	17.22	16.62
2	L1×T2	1.16	2.90	1.27	5.50	5.50	5.50	0.36	0.40	0.38	17.35	18.34	17.85
3	L1×T3	1.26	2.12	1.23	5.17	5.07	5.12	0.46	0.48	0.47	18.32	17.36	17.84
4	L2×T1	1.34	2.50	1.33	5.22	5.11	5.17	0.34	0.37	0.36	16.98	17.42	17.20
5	L2×T2	1.42	2.56	1.43	5.24	5.62	5.43	0.36	0.39	0.38	17.46	18.01	17.73
6	L2×T3	1.52	2.17	1.39	5.56	5.45	5.50	0.37	0.40	0.38	18.43	17.44	17.93
7	L3×T1	0.87	2.16	0.92	2.25	2.20	2.23	0.42	0.43	0.43	16.36	17.63	17.00
8	L3×T2	0.88	2.18	0.93	5.51	5.40	5.46	0.44	0.44	0.44	16.49	17.94	17.22
9	L3×T3	0.92	1.94	0.93	5.63	5.52	5.58	0.45	0.45	0.45	18.43	19.55	18.99
10	L4×T1	1.16	2.97	1.14	5.60	5.49	5.54	0.36	0.36	0.36	17.39	18.47	17.93
11	L4×T2	1.02	2.67	1.02	5.34	5.23	5.29	0.36	0.37	0.37	17.39	18.89	18.14
12	L4×T3	1.03	2.48	1.05	5.42	5.31	5.37	0.36	0.38	0.37	18.43	19.44	18.94
13	L5×T1	0.97	1.80	0.98	5.22	5.11	5.17	0.37	0.40	0.39	19.28	18.82	19.05
14	L5×T2	0.98	1.98	0.97	5.41	5.30	5.35	0.42	0.41	0.42	20.37	17.61	18.99
15	L5×T3	1.06	1.77	1.08	5.23	5.12	5.18	0.44	0.44	0.44	21.34	21.44	21.39
16	L6×T1	1.16	1.94	1.14	5.50	5.39	5.45	0.43	0.43	0.43	18.43	19.43	18.93
17	L6×T2	1.13	2.32	1.15	5.03	4.93	4.98	0.42	0.42	0.42	19.44	19.88	19.66
18	L6×T3	1.23	1.80	1.24	5.04	4.94	4.99	0.46	0.46	0.46	19.36	18.48	18.92
19	L7×T1	0.95	1.88	0.94	5.62	5.51	5.56	0.54	0.55	0.54	18.43	17.42	17.92
20	L7×T2	0.96	2.53	0.98	5.54	5.43	5.48	0.57	0.57	0.57	19.47	20.68	20.07
21	L7×T3	0.97	1.88	0.96	5.42	5.31	5.37	0.53	0.55	0.54	19.42	19.49	19.45
22	L8×T1	1.06	2.10	1.07	5.34	5.23	5.29	0.36	0.38	0.37	18.43	19.77	19.10
23	L8×T2	1.16	2.31	1.07	5.45	5.34	5.40	0.44	0.45	0.45	19.43	20.34	19.88
24	L8×T3	1.24	2.10	1.18	5.11	5.01	5.06	0.41	0.42	0.42	21.34	22.34	21.84
25	L9×T1	0.97	2.56	0.98	5.12	5.02	5.07	0.42	0.43	0.42	19.41	20.14	19.77
26	L9×T2	0.98	2.93	1.02	5.21	5.44	5.33	0.46	0.46	0.46	18.43	19.24	18.84
27	L9×T3	1.06	2.24	1.05	5.48	5.37	5.42	0.44	0.44	0.44	21.34	22.43	21.89
28	L10×T1	1.67	1.68	1.55	5.18	5.08	5.13	0.34	0.37	0.35	18.43	17.78	18.10
29	L10×T2	1.64	2.28	1.66	4.94	4.84	4.89	0.37	0.38	0.38	19.45	18.54	18.99
30	L10×T3	1.25	1.99	1.30	5.02	4.92	4.97	0.38	0.39	0.39	19.47	20.74	20.11
31	L11×T1	1.10	2.92	1.11	5.40	5.29	5.35	0.55	0.55	0.55	16.49	18.94	17.72
32	L11×T2	1.15	2.73	1.14	5.23	5.47	5.35	0.56	0.56	0.56	17.46	18.64	18.05
33	L11×T3	1.26	2.39	1.25	5.29	5.18	5.24	0.56	0.57	0.56	18.43	19.41	18.92
1	L1	0.95	1.73	0.96	6.37	6.24	6.31	0.41	0.40	0.41	18.31	18.77	18.54
2	L2	0.98	1.65	0.98	6.74	6.60	6.67	0.35	0.35	0.35	18.61	18.68	18.65
3	L3	0.78	1.05	0.84	6.77	6.63	6.70	0.51	0.50	0.50	18.75	17.74	18.24
4	L4	0.97	1.63	0.98	6.01	5.89	5.95	0.38	0.38	0.38	19.52	18.52	19.02
5	L5	0.95	1.01	0.93	6.42	6.29	6.35	0.43	0.43	0.43	22.25	22.41	22.33
6	L6	1.05	0.96	1.09	5.96	5.84	5.90	0.54	0.54	0.54	20.27	20.45	20.36
7	L7	0.90	1.04	0.92	6.15	6.02	6.09	0.54	0.55	0.55	20.48	21.46	20.97
8	L8	1.15	1.24	1.14	5.63	5.52	5.57	0.52	0.52	0.52	22.50	21.22	21.86
9	L9	0.95	1.44	0.94	6.29	6.17	6.23	0.47	0.46	0.46	22.33	22.68	22.50
10	L10	1.61	1.09	1.61	5.96	5.85	5.90	0.38	0.40	0.39	19.78	20.33	20.06
11	L11	1.24	1.76	1.26	5.27	5.17	5.22	0.68	0.48	0.58	17.58	18.56	18.07
1	T1	1.17	2.96	1.23	5.76	5.64	5.70	0.36	0.38	0.37	19.40	19.10	19.25
2	T2	1.65	3.22	1.43	5.60	5.49	5.55	0.38	0.40	0.39	17.44	19.46	18.45
3	T3	1.04	1.94	1.04	5.20	5.33	5.27	0.35	0.38	0.36	16.56	17.91	17.23
	Mean	1.13	2.08	1.12	5.46	5.38	5.42	0.43	0.44	0.44	18.86	19.29	19.07
	C.V.	7.84	9.66	5.26	4.52	4.65	3.02	4.86	4.42	3.21	2.51	4.35	2.23
	S.E	0.05	0.12	0.03	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.27	0.48	0.25
	C.D. 5%	0.14	0.33	0.10	0.40	0.41	0.27	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.77	1.36	0.69
	C.D. 1%	0.19	0.43	0.13	0.53	0.54	0.35	0.05	0.04	0.03	1.02	1.80	0.91
	Max	1.67	3.22	1.66	6.77	6.63	6.70	0.68	0.57	0.58	22.503	22.68	22.50
	Min	0.78	0.96	0.84	2.25	2.20	2.23	0.34	0.35	0.35	16.02	17.22	16.62

Conti....

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Total sugar (mg/100g fresh fruit)			Lycopene		
		Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
1	L1×T1	3.59	3.61	3.60	2.64	2.56	2.60
2	L1×T2	3.59	3.58	3.59	3.14	3.41	3.27
3	L1×T3	3.66	3.68	3.67	2.66	2.98	2.82
4	L2×T1	3.63	3.69	3.66	2.79	2.97	2.88
5	L2×T2	3.73	3.78	3.75	3.17	3.71	3.44
6	L2×T3	3.52	3.54	3.53	2.84	2.48	2.66
7	L3×T1	3.46	3.48	3.47	2.77	2.87	2.82
8	L3×T2	3.56	3.59	3.57	3.23	3.32	3.27
9	L3×T3	3.44	3.48	3.46	2.70	2.83	2.76
10	L4×T1	3.67	3.69	3.68	2.61	3.45	3.03

11	L4×T2	3.78	3.88	3.83	3.38	3.83	3.61
12	L4×T3	3.71	3.77	3.74	2.43	2.34	2.39
13	L5×T1	3.74	3.78	3.76	3.17	3.71	3.44
14	L5×T2	3.81	3.84	3.83	3.26	3.62	3.44
15	L5×T3	3.79	3.82	3.81	3.17	3.71	3.44
16	L6×T1	3.56	3.59	3.58	2.75	2.57	2.66
17	L6×T2	3.70	3.77	3.74	3.23	3.32	3.27
18	L6×T3	3.47	3.49	3.48	2.69	2.96	2.83
19	L7×T1	3.70	3.56	3.63	2.48	2.84	2.66
20	L7×T2	3.71	3.17	3.44	3.20	3.02	3.11
21	L7×T3	3.51	3.55	3.53	2.64	2.46	2.55
22	L8×T1	3.65	3.59	3.62	2.58	2.85	2.72
23	L8×T2	3.61	3.66	3.63	2.90	2.92	2.91
24	L8×T3	3.54	3.45	3.50	2.63	2.61	2.62
25	L9×T1	3.64	3.46	3.55	2.75	2.48	2.62
26	L9×T2	3.61	3.16	3.38	3.43	3.34	3.39
27	L9×T3	3.51	3.15	3.33	2.69	2.89	2.79
28	L10×T1	3.64	3.47	3.56	2.57	2.74	2.65
29	L10×T2	3.74	3.48	3.61	3.27	3.66	3.47
30	L10×T3	3.53	3.35	3.44	2.74	2.47	2.61
31	L11×T1	3.59	3.95	3.77	3.16	3.36	3.26
32	L11×T2	3.56	3.65	3.61	3.34	3.43	3.38
33	L11×T3	3.55	3.57	3.56	2.55	2.65	2.60
1	L1	3.47	3.74	3.60	2.56	2.65	2.61
2	L2	3.54	3.54	3.54	2.66	2.68	2.67
3	L3	3.32	3.23	3.28	2.74	2.47	2.61
4	L4	3.65	3.56	3.60	2.56	2.78	2.67
5	L5	3.77	3.87	3.82	3.33	3.34	3.33
6	L6	3.47	3.74	3.60	2.37	2.99	2.68
7	L7	3.54	3.45	3.50	2.41	2.78	2.60
8	L8	3.59	3.95	3.77	2.45	2.54	2.50
9	L9	3.54	3.46	3.50	2.74	2.67	2.71
10	L10	3.60	3.62	3.61	2.61	2.63	2.62
11	L11	3.51	3.15	3.33	2.58	2.78	2.68
1	T1	3.71	3.78	3.75	3.12	3.44	3.28
2	T2	3.89	3.98	3.94	3.98	3.45	3.71
3	T3	3.49	3.43	3.46	3.09	3.17	3.13
	Mean	3.61	3.59	3.60	2.87	2.99	2.93
	C.V.	1.79	4.40	2.54	5.75	5.93	4.65
	S.E	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.08
	C.D. 5%	0.10	0.26	0.15	0.27	0.29	0.22
	C.D. 1%	0.14	0.34	0.20	0.35	0.38	0.29
	Max	3.89	3.98	3.94	3.9767	3.83	3.71
	Min	3.32	3.15	3.28	2.37	2.34	2.39

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the current study, it can be deduced that the top five hybrids for fruit yield per plant were L₄×T₁, L₉×T₂, L₁₁×T₁, L₁×T₁, L₁₁×T₂, with mean values of (2.90, 2.88, 2.87, 2.81, and 2.74, respectively). In order to develop favourable traits, these should be used in future breeding programme.

Acknowledgement. The author(s) gratefully acknowledge the department of Vegetable Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing the research facilities for this research.

Conflicts of Interest. None.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2018). Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India, Gurgaon.

- Basavaraj, L. B., Vilas, D.G., Shivappa, M. K., Vijayakumar, D. R., Nagesh, G. C. and Reshmika, P. K. (2016). Combining ability analysis for yield and quality traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Green Farming*, 7(1): 26-30.
- Narasimhamurthy, Y. K., Hanumanthagowda, P. and Ramanjinigowda (2013). Line × Tester analysis in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.): Identification of superior parents for fruit quality and yield attributing traits. *International Journal of Plant Breeding*, 7(1): 50-54.
- Ravindrakumar, Srivastava, K., Somappa, J., Sunilkumar and Singh, R. K. (2012). Heterosis for yield and yield components in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 3(2): 800-805.
- Rick, C.M. (1978). The tomato. *Scientific American*, 239: 76-87.
- Shankar, A., R.V.S.K, Reddy, Sujatha, M. and Pratap, M. (2014). Development of superior F₁ hybrids for commercial exploitation in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L). *International Journal of Farm Science*, 4(2): 58-69.

- Sujeet Kumar and Ramanjini gowda, P. H. (2016). Estimation of heterosis and combining ability in tomato for fruit shelf life and yield component traits using line \times tester method. *International Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Research*, 2(3): 445-470.
- Sunil, K., Y., Singh, B. K., Baranwal, D. K. and Solankey, S. S. (2013). Genetic study of heterosis for yield and quality components in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(44): 5585-5591.
- Vilas, C. A., Rana, M. K., Dhankar, S. K., Vikashkumar and Yadav, N. (2015). Studies on combining ability analysis for yield and yield related traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Enzyme engineering*, 4(2): 1-5.

How to cite this article: Navneet Singh, C.N. Ram, Vikash Singh and G.C. Yadav (2022). Analyzing the Yield and Attributional Features of the F₁ Hybrids Produced by the Parental Lines and their Tomato-producing Parents (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 14(2a): 302-310.