



Assessment of Coconut Genotypes for Growth and Yield Parameters

M. Mohanalakshmi^{1*}, B. Senthamizh Selvi² and D. Mastan Vali³

¹Associate Professor (Horticulture), Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

²Associate Professor (Hort.), Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Paiyur (Tamil Nadu), India.

³Assistant Professor (Medicinal & Aromatic Crop Science), College of Horticulture, OUAT, Chiplima, Sambalpur (Odisha), India.

(Corresponding author: M. Mohanalakshmi*)

(Received: 18 September 2023; Revised: 15 October 2023; Accepted: 25 November 2023; Published: 15 December 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: The study was carried out at Coconut nursery, Department of Spices and Plantation crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore during the year 2018-2021 to evaluate coconut genotypes and hybrids for growth and yield. Fourteen genotypes were used for the study. The design of the experiment was Randomized Block Design with two replications with each genotype representing three palms per replication. Among the fourteen genotypes Andaman Ordinary recorded the highest tree height of 12.13 meters and trunk girth in Chandra Laksha ((102.76 cm). Andaman Ordinary recorded maximum number of inflorescence per palm per year((13.44)) followed by Laccadive Ordinary (13.08) and minimum number of inflorescence were recorded by Kulasekaran Dwarf Green (10.52). The genotype Andaman ordinary recorded more number of bunches per palm per year (12.52) whereas less numbers recorded in Kulasekaran Green Dwarf (9.56) and Malayan Green Dwarf (9.70). Whole nut weight (861.00 g) and dehusked nut weight (385.50 g) were recorded maximum in Laccadive Ordinary. Kernal weight was maximum in Andaman Ordinary (250.02 g) whereas it was minimum in Kulasekaran Green Dwarf (132.90 g). Andaman Ordinary is assessed to be more appropriate for further crop improvement programme under Coimbatore conditions.

Keywords: Tall coconut, Andaman Ordinary, Nut, Variability.

INTRODUCTION

Coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) is believed to be an important plantation crop in the world. The crop is cultivated in almost 93 countries of the world. Coconut production plays an important role in the national economy of India. In India coconut is cultivated in an area of 21.53 lakh hectare with a production of 19309 million nuts and productivity of 8966nuts/palm/year (<https://nhb.gov.in/Statistics.aspx>). Currently India ranks third in coconut production and productivity in the world. In India a reduction in coconut production has been noticed in recent times. It is because of the unprredicted fluctuations in climatic conditions, global warming, floods, insects and pests, aged plants, phytosanitary threats, infectious diseases, lethal diseases etc. As a plantation crop in home stead garden it is used as refreshing drink for consumption, endosperm for direct utilization and for oil extraction, fiber for commercial value, timber and shell for industrial use. Considering these uses, palm is referred as Tree of life and Kalpavrisa meaning tree of heaven. Coconut belongs to the genus *Cocos*, which is monotypic belonging to the family arecaceae. There are two types in coconut Tall and Dwarf. Tall types are cross pollinated and dwarf types are self pollinated.

Because of cross pollination behavior there will be lot of genetic variability. For selection of desired genotypes, variability is an important criteria. If variability is larger in the material greater will be the scope for improvement. Evaluation and utilization of existing genetic variability is the preliminary step in any crop improvement programme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To evaluate coconut genotypes and hybrids for yield and nut quality a field experiment was conducted at Coconut nursery, Department of Spices and Plantation crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during the year 2018-2021. Fourteen genotypes were used for the study. The design of the experiment was Randomized Block Design with two replications with each genotype representing three palms per replication. The genotypes were planted at a distance of 7.5 × 7.5m. Recommended cultural practices were followed for all the genotypes (Nampoorthiri *et al.*, 2000). Observations were recorded from all the three palms representing each genotype in each replication on vegetative, floral, nut and yield characters and the mean values were arrived at. For three years (December 2018- November

2021) nut yield per palm was recorded periodically and pooled to get nut yield per palm per year. Data were statistical analysed as per the standard procedures (Panse and Sukhatme 1985). Estimation of similarities based upon marker results were conducted using the method (Nei and Li 1979).

Table 1: Genotypes and their origin.

| Sr. No. | Genotype | Origin |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | India |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | India |
| 3. | Andaman Ordinary | India |
| 4. | Laccadive Ordinary | India |
| 5. | Philippines ordinary | Philippines |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | Vietnam |
| 7. | Malayan yellow Dwarf | Malaysia |
| 8. | Malayan Green Dwarf | Malaysia |
| 9. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | India |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Dwarf Green | India |
| 11. | Kera Sankara | India |
| 12. | Chandra Sankara | India |
| 13. | Chandra laksha | India |
| 14. | Laksha Ganga | India |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant variations in tree height, trunk girth, Number of leaves, and number of inflorescence per palm were found in the current study (Tables 2 to 6). The Andaman Ordinary recorded the highest tree height of 12.13 meters. The Kulasekaran Green Dwarf has the lowest trunk girth (64.01 cm) while Chandra Laksha had the most trunk girth (102.76 cm). The Andaman Ordinary had the highest recorded number of leaves (35.54), while the Chowghat Orange Dwarf and Kera Sankara had the lowest numbers (27.91 and 28.43, respectively). The leaf length and number of leaves are significant characteristics because they determine a leaf's capacity to support bunches in the axis and boost photosynthetic efficiency. Jeyalakshmi and Rengasamy (2002); Jerard (2002) ; Ramanandam *et al.* (2017) also reported similar results.

Andaman Ordinary recorded maximum number of inflorescence per palm per year (13.44) followed by

Laccadive Ordinary (13.08) and minimum number of inflorescence were recorded by Kulasekaran Dwarf Green (10.52). Andaman ordinary recorded more number of bunches per palm per year (12.52) whereas less numbers recorded in Kulasekaran Green Dwarf (9.56) and Malayan Green Dwarf (9.70). Significantly highest pooled nut yield per palm was recorded by Andaman Ordinary (120.35 nuts) compared to lowest in Malayan Green Dwarf (61.51). Whole nut weight (861.00 g) and dehusked nut weight (385.50 g) was recorded in Laccadive Ordinary. Andaman Ordinary recorded more Kernal weight (250.02 g) whereas it was less in Kulasekaran Green Dwarf (132.90 g). Jayabose *et al.* (2008) ; Rachel *et al.* (2010) report similar increases in the weight of dehusked nuts, kernels, and shells. They reported that the weight of kernels is positively correlated with the weight of dehusked nuts.

The increase in inflorescence production per palm per year and the number of functional leaves per year could be the reason for the maximum nut yield which might have contributed to higher photosynthetic accumulation during the reproductive phase.. Variation of nut characters may be because of both hereditary factors and environment factors including soil dampness and nutrient supplement status (Selvaraj and Maheswarappa 2016). Significantly higher quantitative characters were recorded in Andaman Ordinary compared to other genotypes. Hence the study concluded that among the fourteen genotypes evaluated Andaman Ordinary is assessed to be more acceptable for further more crop improvement programme under Coimbatore conditions. In the similarity index values higher variation was found between different groups and less variation was found with in the groups (Table 7 & 8). The tall genotypes are having higher variation than dwarf genotypes because the dwarf varieties are highly homozygous pure lines while the tall genotype are naturally cross pollinating, heterozygous populations having varying degree of heterozygosity.

Table 2: Growth attributes of coconut genotypes.

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Palm height (m) | Trunk girth (cm) |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | 12.10 | 90.40 |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | 11.50 | 85.53 |
| 3. | Philippines ordinary | 10.60 | 90.64 |
| 4. | Andaman Ordinary | 12.13 | 86.42 |
| 5. | Laccadive ordinary | 11.28 | 93.01 |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | 10.57 | 84.63 |
| 7. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | 4.21 | 78.63 |
| 8. | Malayan Yellow Dwarf | 11.60 | 87.54 |
| 9. | Malayan Green Dwarf | 6.45 | 66.30 |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Green Dwarf | 6.83 | 64.01 |
| 11. | Chandra Sankara | 8.70 | 91.08 |
| 12. | Chandra Laksha | 8.50 | 102.76 |
| 13. | Laksha Ganga | 9.86 | 93.35 |
| 14. | Kera Sankara | 9.00 | 90.95 |
| | Mean | 9.52 | 86.08 |
| | SE (d) | 0.001 | 5.59 |
| | CD (0.05 %) | 0.002 | 11.56 |

Table 3: Leaf characters of coconut genotypes.

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Number of leaves | Number of leaflets | Leaf length (m) | Petiole length (m) |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | 31.32 | 218.85 | 4.52 | 1.16 |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | 30.57 | 218.67 | 4.44 | 1.09 |
| 3. | Philippines ordinary | 32.83 | 218.90 | 4.37 | 1.16 |
| 4. | Andaman Ordinary | 35.54 | 225.60 | 4.63 | 1.17 |
| 5. | Laccadive ordinary | 34.20 | 226.05 | 4.50 | 1.11 |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | 34.80 | 217.44 | 4.34 | 1.07 |
| 7. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | 27.91 | 219.49 | 4.42 | 1.17 |
| 8. | Malayan Yellow Dwarf | 34.58 | 224.37 | 4.73 | 1.13 |
| 9. | Malayan Green Dwarf | 32.22 | 216.99 | 4.06 | 1.03 |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Green Dwarf | 30.89 | 211.37 | 3.97 | 0.94 |
| 11. | Chandra Sankara | 32.88 | 236.11 | 4.47 | 1.64 |
| 12. | Chandra Laksha | 32.44 | 236.65 | 4.35 | 1.30 |
| 13. | Laksha Ganga | 31.03 | 229.12 | 4.31 | 1.19 |
| 14. | Kera Sankara | 28.43 | 227.0 | 4.34 | 1.20 |
| | Mean | 32.11 | 223.45 | 4.38 | 1.08 |
| | SE (d) | 0.35 | 2.39 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| | CD (0.05 %) | 0.76 | 5.16 | 0.12 | NS |

Table 4: Floral characters of coconut genotypes.

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Number of inflorescence | Number of female flowers | Spadix length (m) | Stalk length (cm) |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | 12.60 | 19.63 | 1.45 | 55.68 |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | 12.50 | 19.38 | 1.31 | 52.09 |
| 3. | Philippines ordinary | 12.21 | 18.53 | 1.23 | 49.04 |
| 4. | Andaman Ordinary | 13.24 | 17.21 | 1.25 | 49.62 |
| 5. | Laccadive ordinary | 13.08 | 13.26 | 1.25 | 49.19 |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | 11.92 | 18.28 | 1.15 | 46.20 |
| 7. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | 11.32 | 16.18 | 1.10 | 49.40 |
| 8. | Malayan Yellow Dwarf | 11.37 | 19.27 | 1.16 | 48.80 |
| 9. | Malayan Green Dwarf | 11.42 | 15.32 | 1.07 | 48.37 |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Green Dwarf | 10.52 | 10.65 | 1.06 | 49.04 |
| 11. | Chandra Sankara | 11.33 | 16.55 | 1.47 | 59.90 |
| 12. | Chandra Laksha | 11.63 | 14.27 | 1.01 | 60.00 |
| 13. | Laksha Ganga | 11.86 | 20.03 | 1.45 | 59.99 |
| 14. | Kera Sankara | 11.49 | 18.22 | 1.35 | 59.49 |
| | Mean | 11.07 | 16.91 | 1.23 | 52.71 |
| | SE (d) | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.56 |
| | CD (0.05 %) | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 1.22 |

Table 5: Nut characters of coconut genotypes.

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Number of bunches/ year/palm | Number of nuts per bunch | Total number of nuts | Whole nut weight (g) |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | 12.10 | 11.03 | 101.28 | 844.62 |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | 11.29 | 10.59 | 98.65 | 839.78 |
| 3. | Philippines ordinary | 11.83 | 10.23 | 83.10 | 739.50 |
| 4. | Andaman Ordinary | 12.52 | 12.50 | 120.35 | 719.65 |
| 5. | Laccadive ordinary | 11.84 | 10.19 | 101.50 | 861.00 |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | 11.59 | 10.01 | 74.89 | 810.21 |
| 7. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | 9.90 | 10.16 | 76.20 | 609.52 |
| 8. | Malayan Yellow Dwarf | 11.17 | 10.11 | 75.50 | 742.50 |
| 9. | Malayan Green Dwarf | 9.70 | 8.96 | 61.51 | 612.32 |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Green Dwarf | 9.56 | 8.38 | 64.25 | 510.25 |
| 11. | Chandra Sankara | 12.39 | 10.14 | 73.86 | 780.87 |
| 12. | Chandra Laksha | 11.29 | 10.30 | 76.56 | 752.51 |
| 13. | Laksha Ganga | 11.21 | 10.07 | 85.20 | 871.12 |
| 14. | Kera Sankara | 11.10 | 10.04 | 80.25 | 730.35 |
| | Mean | 11.34 | 10.19 | 83.79 | 744.58 |
| | SE (d) | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.94 | 8.35 |
| | CD (0.05 %) | 0.32 | 0.63 | 2.05 | 18.05 |

Table 6: Nut characters and Quality characters of coconut genotypes.

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Dehusked nut weight (g) | Kernal weight (g) | Oil content (%) |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | West Coast Tall | 346.38 | 219.50 | 66.64 |
| 2. | East Coast Tall | 346.17 | 215.72 | 67.70 |
| 3. | Philippines ordinary | 346.51 | 204.69 | 68.80 |
| 4. | Andaman Ordinary | 340.58 | 250.02 | 68.26 |
| 5. | Laccadive ordinary | 385.50 | 208.07 | 71.50 |
| 6. | Cochin China Tall | 339.42 | 201.53 | 67.50 |
| 7. | Chowghat Orange Dwarf | 316.55 | 187.60 | 65.28 |
| 8. | Malayan Yellow Dwarf | 319.63 | 167.85 | 67.40 |
| 9. | Malayan Green Dwarf | 310.72 | 151.42 | 65.30 |
| 10. | Kulasekaran Green Dwarf | 291.46 | 132.90 | 63.07 |
| 11. | Chandra Sankara | 330.64 | 188.78 | 68.13 |
| 12. | Chandra Laksha | 300.57 | 185.57 | 66.65 |
| 13. | Laksha Ganga | 334.90 | 173.90 | 69.70 |
| 14. | Kera Sankara | 307.45 | 172.65 | 67.23 |
| | Mean | 329.74 | 190.01 | 67.36 |
| | SE (d) | 7.62 | 2.52 | 0.06 |
| | CD (0.05 %) | 10.63 | 5.44 | 0.13 |

Table 7: Similarity indices for coconut genotypes and Hybrids.

| | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | A11 | A12 | A13 | A14 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| A1 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| A2 | | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.60 |
| A3 | | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.40 |
| A4 | | | | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.30 |
| A5 | | | | | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| A6 | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.30 |
| A7 | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| A8 | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| A9 | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| A10 | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| A11 | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| A12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.70 |
| A13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.60 |
| A14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 |

Table 8: Distance of dissimilarity index for coconut genotypes and Hybrids.

| | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | A11 | A12 | A13 | A14 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| A1 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.50 |
| A2 | | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| A3 | | | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.60 |
| A4 | | | | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.70 |
| A5 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.60 |
| A6 | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.70 |
| A7 | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.60 |
| A8 | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.50 |
| A9 | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| A10 | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.60 |
| A11 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| A12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.30 |
| A13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| A14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.00 |

A1 West Coast Tall
A2 East Coast Tall
A3 Philippines ordinary
A4 Andaman Ordinary
A5 Laccadive Ordinary

A6 Cochin ChinaTall
A7 Chowghat Orange Dwarf
A8 Malayan Yellow Dwarf
A9 Malayan Green Dwarf
A10 Kulasekaran Green Dwarf

A11 Chandra Sankara
A12 Chandra Laksha
A13 Laksha Ganga
A14 Kera Sankara

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded from this experiment that among the coconut hybrids/varieties evaluated, Andaman Ordinary was found to be superior in terms of number

of bunches per palm per year ,number of nuts per bunch and nut yield over the other varieties and Hybrids. Hence, the variety Andaman Ordinary was considered to be the most suitable for cultivation in Coimbatore conditions Identification of high yielding

varieties/hybrids suitable to a particular area is very important to achieve higher production and productivity in coconut.

FUTURE SCOPE

One of the main objective in coconut breeding is to increase nut yield which is a complex character dependent on interaction of number of component characters. Selection of characters could be done only if there is genetic variation. Andaman Ordinary can be used for further breeding programme as one of the parent to get more yield and quality components of the nut.

REFERENCES

- Jayabose, C., S. Ganesh, K. V., Mohanan, S., Arulraj (2008). Estimation of Heterosis of economic importance of coconut. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, 36 (3), 151-154.
- Jerard, A. B. (2002). Studies on the mean performance, variability, association analysis, stability and diversity in coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L) genotypes. Ph.D Thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
- Narayana, G. V., and John, C. M. (2016). Varieties and forms of coconut. *Madras Agric. J.*, 36, 349-346.
- Nei, M., and Li, W. H. (1979). Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Nati. Acad. Sci.*, 76(10), 5269-527.3
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 97-128.
- Ramanandam, G., Ravikumar, K., Padma, E., Kalpana, M. and Maheswarappa, H. P. (2017). Potential coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) hybrids for yield and quality for coastal region of Andhra Pradesh (India). *Indian Journal of Agric. Sci.*, 87(8), 1073-1076.
- Rachel, A. R., Louis, K. K. J., Alexia, P., Jean, N., Ernest (2010). Physicochemical characteristics of kernel during fruit maturation of four coconut cultivars (*Cocos nucifera* L). *African J. biotech*, 9(14), 2136-2144.
- Selvaraj Vijai, K. S. and Maheswarappa, H. P. (2016). Variability and correlation in coconut germplasm for morphological and fruit characters *Adv. Crop Sci. Tech.*, 4(3:221-222).
- Suchitra, M. (2014). Studies on performance of certain indigenous and exotic coconut genotypes (*Cocos nucifera* L) M.Sc Thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

How to cite this article: M. Mohanalakshmi, B. Senthamizh Selvi and D. Mastan Vali (2023). Assessment of Coconut Genotypes for Growth and Yield Parameters. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(12): 295-299.