

Mutagenic effect of Sodium Azide on Morphological Parameters of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)

Kumari N.^{1*}, Mahawer L.N.², Bairwa H.L.³, Babu S.R.³, Jain D.⁴, Singh D.P.⁵ and Pandwala N.¹

¹M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture, MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India.

²Professor, Department of Horticulture, MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India.

³Associate Professor and Head, Department of Horticulture, MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of MBBT, MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chem., MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India.

⁷M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture, MPUA & T, Udaipur (Rajasthan), India.

(Corresponding author: Kumari N.*)

(Received: 07 June 2023; Revised: 27 June 2023; Accepted: 26 July 2023; Published: 15 August 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was carried out at Horticulture Farm, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan in year 2023, to study the “Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on morphological parameter of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)”. Cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni, including germination percentage, days to 50% flowering, leaf length, leaf width, pod length, pod diameter, and lethality. The germination percentages varied among treatments, as compared to control (SA₁₁) and sodium azide (0.01%) exhibiting the highest values (93% and 90% respectively), while SA₁₀ (0.1%) had the lowest (40%). The control SA₃ (0.03%) and SA₂ (0.02%) treatments resulted in earlier flowering, while higher level SA₁₀ (0.1%) showed delayed flowering. Leaf length and width were significantly influenced by SA₃ and SA₂ treatments with SA₁₀ exhibiting the narrowest leaves. Pod length and diameter were increases in treatment SA₃, SA₂, and SA₄ level, while the higher lethality was observed in the SA₁₀ (0.1%) over the control and other treatment level.

Keywords: Mutagenic, Sodium Azide, Cowpea, Pusa Dharni, and Lethality.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. walp), belongs to the family Fabaceae, chromosome number 2n = 22 and originated from Central Africa. Cowpea is important Kharif pulse crop and grown in India for vegetable pods, grain, forage and for green manure purpose. Cowpea is grown both for tender pods and dry seeds used as pulse for culinary purpose. Vegetable cowpea is one of the most ancient crops known to man. It is a popular vegetable grown throughout the world. It is a warm season crop, well adopted to many areas of humid tropics and subtropical zones. In India it is grown widely around the year (Dekhane *et al.*, 2011). Cowpea pods are good source of protein, fibre, minerals, calcium and vitamins particularly Vitamin A and vitamin C. It contains 8 g carbohydrates, 43 g proteins and 0.6 g fat, 2 g fiber per 100 g of edible portion. Tender fruits contain 80 mg calcium, 74 mg phosphorus and 2.5 mg iron per 100 g fresh pod, amino acid profile particularly high in cowpea which greatly improves the protein quality of pulses (Gopalakrishnan, 2007).

In India, cowpea is cultivated in an area of 654 lakh hectares with a production of 599 lakh tonnes. The productivity of cowpea is 916 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2021a). The major cowpea growing states are

Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh. In Tamil Nadu, cowpea is cultivated in an area of 65,836 hectares with production of 50,145 tonnes (2020-21) Anonymous (2021b) https://aps.dac.gov.in/APY/Public_Report1.

It is cultivated in the semi-arid regions of Rajasthan, Gujarat, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra mostly as grain legume. In India around 19.3 million tons of cowpea produced annually with average productivity of 764 kg/ha. In Rajasthan largest producers of cowpea districts are Jhunjhunu (14140 tons), Sikar (9999 tons), Jaipur (3030 tons), Churu (1730 tons) respectively and total area under cowpea in Rajasthan 50060 ha in which major area districts including are Jhunjhunu (22850 ha), Sikar (14087 ha), Jaipur (94627 ha), Nagaur (2724 ha) during 2019-20 as per Anonymous (2022).

Mutation is considered as one of the driving forces of evolution. Induced mutation breeding is relatively quicker method to create variability for quantitatively inherited traits in different plants (Camargo *et al.*, 2000). It is induced through both physical and chemical mutagens, in which gamma radiation is an important tool for inducing the genetic variability, which intern throw potential mutants for enhancing yield and its yield contributing traits (Thapa, 2004). One per cent sodium azide is utilized for inducing mutation in

sorghum for enhancing germination rate, root length, shoot length, bold seeds and yield attributing traits (Dahot *et al.*, 2011).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out at Horticulture Farm, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan in year 2023. Which is situated at 23° 34'N latitude and 72° 42'E longitudes at an elevation of 562.8 meters above mean sea level. The region falls under agro climatic Zone IV A (Sub-humid Southern Plain and Aravali Hills) of Rajasthan. The field had fairly levelled topography. The experiment was conducted on clay loam soil under irrigated condition. The experimental layout was made by preparation of ridges and furrow at a distance of 30 cm × 30cm (R × P) by manually as per the layout. The field experimental site has clayey loam soil in texture. The details of the materials used and methods adopted during the course of investigation are described in this chapter. Seed of cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni treated with 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1% sodium azide concentration at 3.0 pH buffer

A. Observations noted

Germination percentage. Germination percentage is calculated by number of germinated seed divided number of seed sown.

Days to 50 percent flowering. The number of days taken from the date of sowing to appearance of 50% flowering in half of the plant population for each treatment in a plot.

Leaf length (cm). The leaf length (cm) of selected sample plants from different treatments was measured by graduated scale in centimeters and data was analyzed for statistical significance.

Leaf width (cm). The leaf width (cm) of selected sample plants from different treatment was measured by graduated scale and recorded. The average leaf width was calculated for statistical analysis.

Pod length(cm). At harvest, length of pods was measured from the ten tagged plants and average value was recorded for statistical analysis.

Pod diameter (mm). The pod diameter of the selected ten mature pod was measured by the Venire calipers in millimeter taken from each treatment and the average diameter of the pod was calculated

Lethality. The dose of a mutagen which would kill 50% of the treated individual.

$$\text{Lethality (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of plants survive} \times 100}{\text{Total number of seeds germinated}}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to the days to 50% flowering, leaf length, leaf width, pod length, pod width and lethality are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1-9.

The data pertaining to the germination percent (%) are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1 & 2 It is clear from the analyse mean data that in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni, various concentration of sodium azide lower down germination percentage in SA₁₀ (0.1%) i.e. 40%

followed as SA₉ (0.09%) i.e. 43% showed significant influence in treatment control SA₁₁ - Control (93%) was recorded highest germination percent (%), which was at par with treatment SA₁-SA @ 0.01 (90%), respectively rest of the other treatment. The treatment SA₁₀- SA @ 0.1 (40%) recorded lowest germination percent (%) as compare to other treatment, respectively.

Among the result days to 50% flowering are presented in Table 1. It is clear from the analyse reveal mean data that in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni showed earliest days to 50% flowering statistically SA₃ - SA @ 0.03% (43.60 day) was recorded earlier days to 50% flowering, which was at par with treatment SA₂-SA @ 0.02% (45.13 day) as compared to SA₁₁ (control) i.e. 47.93 days respectively. The higher level of sodium azide SA @ 0.1% recorded delayed days to 50% flowering (58.40 days) as compare to other treatment.

The data in Table 1 and Fig. 5 highlights the impact of different treatments on leaf length (cm) in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. Improved trends for leaf length were notes from 0.02% (13.20cm) to 0.03% (13.23cm), sodium azide level and declined trend noted at SA₉ @0.09% (10.36cm) and SA₁₀ @0.1% (10.00cm).

The table in Table 1 and Fig. 3 and 4 presents the data on leaf width (cm) in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. The analysis of mean data indicates that the treatment SA₃ - SA @ 0.03 resulted in significantly higher leaf width (7.15 cm) compared to the control treatment. Similarly, at par with the treatment SA₂ - SA @ 0.02 (6.89 cm) exhibited a similar effect. On the other hand, the treatment SA₁₀ - SA @ 0.1 recorded the lowest leaf width (4.25 cm) among all the treatments.

The table (Table 1 and Fig. 6) presents data on pod length (cm) in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. The analysis of mean data reveals that the treatment Sodium azide 0.03% exhibited a significant influence, resulting in a higher pod length (23.51 cm) compared to the SA₁₁-control (20.92) treatment. Which was at par with treatment SA₂ - SA @ 0.02 (23.48 cm) showed a similar effect. In contrast, the treatment SA₁₀ - SA @ 0.1 recorded the lowest pod length (15.00 cm) among all the treatments.

The data pertaining to the pod diameter (mm) are presented in Table 1 and Fig 9. It is clear from the analyse mean data that in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni showed significant influence in treatment control SA₃ - SA @ 0.03 (66.79 mm) was recorded higher pod diameter (mm), which was at par with treatment SA₄-SA @ 0.04 (66.79 mm) respectively, rest of the other treatment. The treatment SA₁₀- SA @ 0.1 (59.30 mm) recorded lowest pod diameter (mm)as compare to other treatment, respectively.

The table (Table 1 and Fig. 8) provides data on lethality percent in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. The analysis of mean data reveals that the treatment sodium azide 0.01% had the lowest lethality (10.00%), which was comparable to the treatment SA₂ - SA @ 0.02 (13.33%). In contrast, the treatment SA₁₀ - SA @ 0.1% concentration recorded the highest lethality (61.67%) among all the treatments. Whereas lethal dose was recorded at sodium azide level SA₇ @0.07% (51.67 %)

after the increase on concentration it had detrimental effect on plant population. The reason for the changes seen with sodium azide treatment in cowpea can be attributed to its cytotoxic and mutagenic effects. Sodium azide affects various physiological and biochemical processes in plants. Sodium azide contribute to the reductions in growth, delayed flowering, shorter and narrower leaves and pods, and higher lethality in the cowpea plants in a dose-dependent manner. The lower concentrations of sodium azide (0.01 - 0.03%) had relatively minor effects, while the higher concentrations (0.1%) led to severe inhibition of growth and development. The control plants without sodium azide treatment showed

optimal growth and yield parameters. The increasing sodium azide concentration beyond 0.03% had an inhibitory effect on growth, yield attributes and viability in cowpea. The 0.03% concentration promoted vegetative growth and yield parameters. The 0.01-0.02% concentrations had minimal effects on germination and viability. These findings highlight the potential of sodium azide to affect the growth and development of cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. The results obtained in the present study are supported by the works of Lavanya *et al.* (2010); Idress *et al.* (2006), Kulthe *et al.* (2011); Nepolian *et al.* (2019); Mshembula *et al.* (2012).

Table 1: Effect of sodium azide for days to 50% flowering, leaf length, leaf width, pod length, pod width and lethality in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni.

Tr. No.	Treatments level (%)	Vegetative parameter						
		Germination (%)	Days to 50% flowering	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Pod length (cm)	Pod diameter (mm)	Lethality (%)
SA ₁	SA @ 0.01	90%	46.13	12.67	6.67	22.17	64.20	10.00%
SA ₂	SA @ 0.02	87%	45.13	13.20	6.89	23.48	65.57	13.33%
SA ₃	SA @ 0.03	83%	43.60	13.23	7.15	23.51	66.90	18.33%
SA ₄	SA @ 0.04	78%	48.40	12.33	6.67	21.57	66.79	21.67%
SA ₅	SA @ 0.05	73%	51.53	12.00	6.40	21.22	64.39	26.67%
SA ₆	SA @ 0.06	53%	52.20	11.40	6.15	21.13	63.94	46.67%
SA ₇	SA @ 0.07	48%	56.20	11.35	5.90	20.03	61.53	51.67%
SA ₈	SA @ 0.08	45%	56.33	10.36	5.22	17.37	60.96	56.67%
SA ₉	SA @ 0.09	43%	58.33	10.00	4.67	16.37	60.25	60.00%
SA ₁₀	SA @ 0.1	40%	58.40	10.58	4.28	15.00	59.30	61.67%
SA ₁₁	Control	93%	47.93	11.34	6.05	20.92	63.30	36.67%
	SEM±	0.50	1.37	0.48	0.17	0.73	1.76	-
	CD (P=0.05)	1.47	4.06	1.43	0.51	2.15	5.21	-
	CV (%)	6.56	4.65	7.20	5.00	6.25	4.83	-

* Significant at 5 % level



Fig. 1. The seed are soaking in chemical mutagen sodium azide for 4 hours of various concentration.



Fig. 2. Germination test after treatment of sodium azide.



Fig. 3. Leaf width in experimental field.



Fig. 4. Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on Leaf width of cowpea.



Fig. 5. Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on Leaf length of cowpea.



Fig. 6. Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on Pod length of cowpea.



Fig. 7. Chlorophyll mutant in M1 generation i.e Viridis, Chlorine and Complex type.



Fig. 7. Experimental field view.



Fig. 8. Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on plant height of cowpea.



Fig. 9. Mutagenic effect of sodium azide on Pod Diameter of cowpea.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion was found that the control treatment (SA₁₁ - Control) performed well in terms of germination percentage, days to 50% flowering, leaf length, leaf width, pod length, and pod diameter in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni. Among the other treatments, SA₁ - SA @ 0.01 showed comparable performance in terms of germination percentage and lethality, while SA₃ - SA @ 0.03 exhibited early flowering and favorable leaf, pod length, and pod diameter. On the

other hand, SA₁₀ - SA @ 0.1 showed the lowest germination percentage and highest lethality.

These findings highlight the importance of proper concentration and application of sodium azide in cowpea cultivation. Further research is needed to understand the underlying mechanisms and to optimize the dosage and timing of sodium azide application to maximize desired growth parameters and minimize lethality in cowpea cv. Pusa Dharni.

FUTURE SCOPE

These findings contribute to a better understanding of the potential mutagenic effects of SA on cowpea and highlight the importance of further research for next generation.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUA&T, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India. for providing all the facilities and relevant literature to conduct the experiment.

Conflict of Interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2021a). Horticultural Statistics at a Glance, 2021. Horticulture Statistics Division Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare Ministry of Agriculture.
- Anonymous (2021b). Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Directorate of Economics and Statistics.
- Anonymous (2022). Crop-wise 4th advance estimates of area, production and yield of various principle crop of Rajasthan in 2019-2020. Commissionerate of Agriculture, Rajasthan. Retrieved from <https://agriculture.rajasthan.gov.in> on 21-08-2022.
- Camargo, C. O., Neto, A. T., Filho, A. F. and Felico, J. C. (2000). Genetic Control of Aluminium Tolerance in Mutant Lines of the Wheat Cultivar Anahuac. *Euphytica*, 114(2), 47-53.
- Dekhane, S. S., Khafi, H. R., Raj, A. D. and Parmar, R. M. (2011). Effect of bio fertilizer and fertility levels on yield, protein content and nutrient uptake of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Legume Research*, 34(1), 51-54.
- Dahot, M. U., Rind, E. and Rafiq, M. (2011). Physical and Biochemical Analysis of Sodium azide Treated *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. *Pakistan Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(2), 67-72.
- Gopalakrishnan, T. R. (2007). Vegetable Crops. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi (India).
- Idress, A., Sadiq, M. S., Hanif, M., Abbas, G. and Haider S. (2006). Genetic parameters and Path co-Efficient Analysis in Mutated Generation of Mungbean, *Vigna radiata* L. *Journal Agricultural Research*, 44(3), 181-188.
- Kulthe, M. P., Vijay, S. Kothekar, V. S. (2011). Effects of sodium azide on yield parameters of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Phytology*, 3(1), 39-42.
- Lavanya, G. R., Yadav, L., Babu, G. S. and Paul, P. J. (2011). Sodium azide mutagenic effect on biological parameters and induced genetic variability in mungbean. *Journal of Food Legume*, 24(1), 46-49.
- Mshembula, B. P., Mensah, J. K. and Ikhajiagbe, B. (2012). Comparative assessment of the mutagenic effects of sodium azide on some selected growth and yield parameters of five accessions of cowpea-Tvu-3615, Tvu-2521, Tvu-3541, Tvu-3485 and Tvu-3574. *Archives of Applied Science Research*, 4(4), 1682-1691.
- Nepolian, T. H., Jeberson, M. S., Kumar, M., Singh, N. B., Shashidhar, K. S. and Sharma, P. H. (2019). Mutation and variability studies in M₂ generation of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) under foothills of Manipur. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(1), 754-758.
- Thapa, C.B. (2004). Effect of Acute Exposure of Gamma Rays on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of *Pinus kesiagord* and *P. wallichiana*. *Our Nature*, 2(1), 13-17.

How to cite this article: Kumari N., Mahawer L.N., Bairwa H.L., Babu S.R., Jain D., Singh D.P. and Pandwala N. (2023). Mutagenic effect of Sodium Azide on Morphological Parameters of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(8): 419-423.