



## Common Fixed Point Theorems with Generalize F-Contraction on Dualistic Partial B-Metric Space

Surjeet Singh Chauhan (Gonder)<sup>1</sup> and Manju<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Mohali (Punjab), India

(Corresponding author: Surjeet Singh Chauhan (Gonder))

(Received 25 May 2019, Revised 04 July 2019, Accepted 09 August 2019)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

**ABSTRACT:** In the present paper a mapping is introduced namely generalize F-contraction mapping. A new metric space namely ordered dualistic partial b-metric space is also introduced by adding additional condition in dualistic partial metric space. On the basis of the same, some theorems are proved. This result is more generalized the result of Nazam and Arsad ("On common fixed point theorems in dualistic partial metric spaces"). An example is also given in the support of our result.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, Dualistic partial b-metric space, Quasi metric, Dualistic partial metric and f-contraction

### I. INTRODUCTION

Jungck [9] introduced the idea of compatible mapping with the help of generalized weakly commuting mappings. There are many authors [1, 2, 8, 16, 17, 21] who proved many fixed point theorems for compatible mappings under the contractive type conditions. In 1922, the most important result of fixed point theory called Banach Contraction Principle (BCP), was established by Stefan Banach. This principle state that "if  $(N, d)$  is a complete metric space and if  $A: N \rightarrow N$  is a contraction mapping that is  $d(Ar, As) \leq k d(r, s)$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$  for all  $r, s \in N$  than it has a unique fixed point ".One of such extension of metric space is Partial Metric Space introduced by Steve G Matthew [11] in 1922. In this metric space the distance between the two elements  $m$  and  $n$  is the distance of two elements is not necessarily zero. This research not only generalized the existing results of the metric space but also to establish new results with applications (see [4, 5, 7, 14, 20, 22]). Dualistic partial metric space was developed by Neill [15] after more generalized form of Partial Metric Space. This space connects quasi metric and dualistic partial metric space. Neill studied many topological properties of dualistic partial metric space. Fixed point theorem in dualistic partial metric space was proposed by Valero *et al.* [18] and proved the Banach fixed point theorem on complete dualistic partial metric space. Subsequently, Nazam *et al.* [3, 12, 13] introduced some fixed point results with applications in the dualistic partial metric space. In 2014, one more idea of partial b-metric space is given by S. Satish [6] in 2014 and proved BCP on this space. Here, using generalize f-Contraction condition

**Definition 2.3:** [23, 24] Let  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . Define a mapping  $d_b: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  if satisfies the following axioms:  $\forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in Y$

- (i)  $d_b(r_1, r_2) \Leftrightarrow r_1 = r_2$
- (ii)  $d_p(r_1, r_2) = d_p(r_2, r_1)$
- (iii)  $d_p(r_1, r_2) \leq u[d_p(r_1, r_3) + d_p(r_3, r_2)]$

Chauhan & Manju

then prove fixed point theorem in ordered dualistic partial b metric space for weakly compatible mapping. This is an extension of work done by Muhammad Nazam [19]. One example is given in the support of this main result.

### II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, collection of natural number is  $N$ ,  $R^+$  denotes all positive real numbers and  $R$  denotes real numbers. Neill [15] defined the dualistic partial metric space by extending the range  $[0, \infty)$  to  $(-\infty, \infty)$  in partial metric space.

**Definition 2.1 [15]:** Let  $N \neq \emptyset$ , define a mapping  $D: N \times N \rightarrow R$  satisfies the following axioms:  $\forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in N$

- (i)  $r_1 = r_2 \Leftrightarrow D(r_1, r_1) = D(r_1, r_2) = D(r_2, r_2)$
  - (ii)  $D(r_1, r_1) \leq D(r_1, r_2)$
  - (iii)  $D(r_1, r_2) = D(r_2, r_1)$
  - (iv)  $D(r_1, r_2) + D(r_3, r_3) \leq D(r_1, r_3) + D(r_3, r_2)$
- Then pair  $(N, D)$  is known as a dualistic partial metric space.

**Definition 2.2: [11]:** Let  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . Define a mapping  $d_p: Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  then  $d_p$  is called Partial Metric if satisfies the following property:  $\forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in Y$

- (i)  $r_1 = r_2 \Leftrightarrow d_p(r_1, r_1) = d_p(r_1, r_2) = d_p(r_2, r_2)$
- (ii)  $d_p(r_1, r_1) \leq d_p(r_1, r_2)$
- (iii)  $d_p(r_1, r_2) = d_p(r_2, r_1)$
- (iv)  $d_p(r_1, r_2) \leq d_p(r_1, r_3) + d_p(r_3, r_2) - d_p(r_3, r_3)$

The pair  $(X, d_p)$  is known as partial metric space.

The pair  $(Y, d_b)$  is known as b-metric space.

**Definition 2.3: [10]** Let  $T$  and  $F$  are self-mappings if  $T(r_1) = F(r_1)$  for some  $r_1 \in M$ , then  $r_1$  is known as coincidence point and  $T, F$  are said to be weakly compatible if

$$T(r_1) = FT(r_1) = TF(r_1) = F(r_1) \text{ for some } r_1 \in M$$

**Example 1.2** Let  $M = R$  and  $T, F: M \rightarrow M$  be given by

$$F(m) = 10m - 9 \text{ and } T(m) = 9m - 8 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

Then  $T, F$  are weakly compatible for  $m=1$ .

**Proof:**  $FT(m) = 10T(m) - 9$

$$= 10(9m - 8) - 9$$

$$= 90m - 80 - 9$$

$$= 90 - 89 = 1$$

And  $TF(m) = 9F(m) - 8$

$$= 9(10m - 9) - 8$$

$$= 90m - 81 - 8$$

$$= 90 - 89 = 1$$

$\Rightarrow T, F$  are weakly compatible for  $m=1$ .

### III. MAIN RESULTS

Here, we shall prove the theorem in dualistic partial b-metric space for the two weakly compatible mapping.

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $N \neq \emptyset$ , define a mapping  $D_b: N \times N \rightarrow R$  satisfies the following axioms:  $\forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in N$

$$(i) r_1 = r_2 \Leftrightarrow D_b(r_1, r_1) = D_b(r_1, r_2) = D_b(r_2, r_2)$$

$$(ii) D_b(r_1, r_1) \leq D_b(r_1, r_2)$$

$$(iii) D_b(r_1, r_2) = D_b(r_2, r_1)$$

$$(iv) D_b(r_1, r_2) + D_b(r_3, r_3) \leq u [D_b(r_1, r_3) + D_b(r_3, r_2)]$$

Pair  $(N, D_b)$  is known as dualistic partial b-metric space with coefficient  $u \geq 1$ .

**Example 3.1:** A function  $D_b: R \times R \rightarrow R$  by  $D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$  Clearly  $D_b$  satisfies (Db1)–(Db4) and hence  $D_b$  is a dualistic partial b-metric space on  $R$ .

**Proof:** Let coefficient  $u \geq 1$  and  $(Y, D_b)$  be a dualistic partial b-metric space. Let  $f_1^*, f_2^*, f_3^* \in Y$  be an arbitrary point, then

$$(1) D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$$

$$D_b(f_1^*, f_1^*) = D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = D_b(f_2^*, f_2^*) \Leftrightarrow f_1^* = f_2^*$$

$$(2) D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\} \geq \max\{f_1^*, f_1^*\} \geq D_b(f_1^*, f_1^*)$$

$$(3) D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$$

$$= \max\{f_2^*, f_1^*\}$$

$$= D_b(f_2^*, f_1^*)$$

$$(4) D_b(f_1^*, f_2^*) = \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$$

$$= \max\{f_1^*, f_2^*\} + \max\{f^*, f^*\} - \max\{f^*, f^*\}$$

$$\leq \max\{f_1^*, f^*\} + \max\{f^*, f_2^*\} - \max\{f^*, f^*\}$$

$$\leq u [\max\{f_1^*, f^*\} + \max\{f^*, f_2^*\} - \max\{f^*, f^*\}] \text{ [since } u \geq 1]$$

$$\leq u [\max\{f_1^*, f^*\} + \max\{f^*, f_2^*\}] - \max\{f^*, f^*\}$$

$$\leq u [D_b(f_1^*, f^*) + D_b(f^*, f_2^*)] - D_b(f^*, f^*)$$

So  $(Y, D_b)$  is a dualistic partial b-metric space.

**Lemma 3.1** If  $(Y, D_b)$  is a dualistic partial b-metric space, then  $d_{D_b}: Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$  defined by  $d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) \quad \forall d^*, e^* \in Y$

is called a Quasi Metric on  $Y$  such that  $\tau(D_b) = \tau(d_{D_b})$ .

**Proof:** Consider  $d^*, e^* \in Y$ . Then

$d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*)$  is always non negative because of  $D_b(d^*, d^*) \leq D_b(d^*, e^*)$ .

Now, we have to check that  $d_{D_b}$  is actually a quasi-metric on  $Y$ . Let  $d^*, e^*, f^* \in Y$ . It is obvious that  $d^* = e^*$  provides that  $d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, d^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) = 0$  Moreover, if  $d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) = 0$  then

$$D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) = D_b(e^*, d^*) - D_b(e^*, e^*) = 0$$

Hence we obtain that  $d^* = e^*$ , since

$$D_b(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, d^*) = D_b(e^*, e^*). \text{ Furthermore}$$

$$d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*)$$

$$\leq D_b(d^*, f^*) + D_b(f^*, e^*) - D_b(f^*, f^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) = d_{D_b}(d^*, f^*) + d_{D_b}(f^*, e^*)$$

Finally we show that  $\tau(D_b) = \tau(d_{D_b})$ . Indeed, let a  $y \in Y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider  $y \in B_{d_{D_b}}(d^*, \epsilon)$ . Then

$$d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) < \epsilon \text{ and,}$$

hence,  $D_b(d^*, e^*) < \epsilon + D_b(d^*, d^*)$ .

Consequently  $y \in B_{D_b}(d^*, \epsilon)$  and  $\tau(D_b) = \tau(d_{D_b})$ .

Conversely if  $y \in B_{D_b}(d^*, \epsilon)$  we have,  $D_b(d^*, e^*) < \epsilon + D_b(d^*, d^*)$

Thus  $d_{D_b}(d^*, e^*) = D_b(d^*, e^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) < \epsilon$ ,  $y \in B_{d_{D_b}}(d^*, \epsilon)$  and  $\tau(D_b) = \tau(d_{D_b})$

$$\text{Implies that } \tau(D_b) = \tau(d_{D_b}).$$

**Remark:** Let  $(Y, D_b)$  is a dualistic partial b-metric space. The function  $d_{D_b}: Y \times Y \rightarrow R^+$  is known as quasi metric on  $M$  defined by

$$d_{D_b}(d^*, f^*) = D_b(d^*, f^*) - D_b(d^*, d^*) \quad \forall d^*, f^* \in Y$$

Moreover, if  $d_{D_b}$  is a dualistic quasi metric on  $Y$ ,

then  $d_{D_b}^s = \max\{d_{D_b}(d^*, f^*), d_{D_b}(f^*, d^*)\}$  defines a metric on  $Y$ .

**Lemma 3.2**

(1) If metric space  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$  is complete then dualistic partial b-metric  $(W, D_b)$  is also complete and vice versa.

(2) A point  $y \in W$  and a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W$  such that  $\{y_n\}$  converge to  $y$ , with respect to  $\tau(d_s^{D_b})$  iff  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_m) = D_b(y, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y, y_n)$

**Proof:** We claim that a  $\{y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$

Hence this is also Cauchy sequence in  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$ .

Let  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$

Then  $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t. given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $|D_b(y_n, y_m) - \alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ .

Hence, 
$$d_{D_b}(y_n, y_m) = D_b(y_n, y_m) - D_b(y_n, y_n)$$

$$= |D_b(y_n, y_m) - \alpha + \alpha - D_b(y_n, y_n)|$$

$$\leq |D_b(y_n, y_m) - \alpha| + |\alpha - D_b(y_n, y_n)|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

For all  $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ . Similarly we show  $d_{D_b}(y_n, y_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ .

We conclude that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$ .

Implies we show that when  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$  is complete than  $(W, D_b)$  is Complete

If  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$ ,

Then also Cauchy sequence in  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$

Suppose that  $y \in W$  and the metric space  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$  is complete such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_s^{D_b}(y, y_n) = 0$ .

By lemma (3.1) we follow that  $\{y_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $(W, D_b)$ .

Further we show that  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_m) = D_b(y, y)$ .

Since  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$  than

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_n) = D_b(y, y)$$

Consider  $\epsilon > 0$  then  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_s^{D_b}(y, y_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  whenever  $n \geq n_0$

Thus

$$|D_b(y, y) - D_b(y_n, y_n)| \leq |D_b(y, y) - D_b(y, y_n)| +$$

$$|D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y_n, y_n)|$$

$$= d_{D_b}^s(y, y_n) + d_{D_b}^s(y_n, y)$$

$$< d_{D_b}^s(y, y_n) < \epsilon$$

Whenever  $n \geq n_0$

$\Rightarrow (W, D_b)$  is complete.

Next we show that every Cauchy sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$ . Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D_b(y_n, y_m) < \frac{1}{2} \forall n, m \geq n_0$

Since

$$d_{D_b}(y_n, y_{n_0}) + D_b(y_n, y_n) = d_{D_b}(y_{n_0}, y_n) + d_{D_b}(y_{n_0}, y_{n_0}),$$

Then

$$|D_b(y_n, y_n)| = d_{D_b}(y_{n_0}, y_n) + d_{D_b}(y_{n_0}, y_{n_0}) - d_{D_b}(y_{n_0}, y_{n_0})$$

Consequently the sequence  $(D_b(y_n, y_n))_n$  is restricted in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and consequently so there is  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  s.t. a subsequence  $(D_b(y_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))_k$  is convergent to  $y$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) = y.$$

It remains to show that  $(D_b(y_n, y_n))_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $\{y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, d_s^{D_b})$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $d_s^{D_b}(y_n, y_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ . Thus, for all  $n, m \geq n_\epsilon$ ,

$$D_b(y_n, y_n) = d_{D_b}(y_m, y_n) + D_b(y_m, y_m) - d_{D_b}(y_m, y_m).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_n) = y$$

Whereas,  $|D_b(y_n, y_m) - y| = |D_b(y_n, y_m) - D_b(y_n, y_n) + D_b(y_n, y_n) - y|$

$$\leq D_b(y_n, y_m) + |D_b(y_n, y_n) - y| < \epsilon \forall n, m \geq n_\epsilon.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_m) = y$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$ .

Then  $\{y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, D_b)$ , and so it is convergent to a point  $y \in W$  with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y, y_n) = D_b(y, y) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_m).$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\exists n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y, y) < \epsilon$

And  $D_b(y, y) - D_b(y_n, y_n) < \epsilon$

Whenever  $n \geq n_\epsilon$  As a consequence we have

$$d_{D_b}(y, y_n) = D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y, y) < \epsilon,$$

And

$$d_{D_b}(y_n, y) = D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y_n, y_n) \\ \leq |D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y, y) + D_b(y, y_n) - D_b(y_n, y_n)| < 2\epsilon$$

whenever  $n \geq n_\epsilon$ . Therefore  $(W, d_b^{D_b})$  is complete.

Finally,  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b^{D_b}(y, y_n) = 0$  iff  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y_n, y_m) = D_b(y, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(y, y_n)$ .

Let a mapping  $W: Y \rightarrow Y$  defined on  $Y$  and  $(Y, \leq)$  be an ordered set to satisfy the property  $y^* \leq W(y^*) \forall y^* \in Y$

Then  $W$  is known as dominating mapping.

**DEFINITION 3.2:** Let  $T, F$  be two self mappings and  $(M, D_b)$  dualistic partial b-metric space. We assume the mapping  $T, F$  a generalize F- contraction if  $\exists \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{u}]$  with constant  $u \geq 1$  s.t.

$$|D_b(T(x^*), T(y^*))| \leq \beta \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(F(x^*), F(y^*))|, |D_b(T(x^*), T(y^*))|, \\ |D_b(F(y^*), T(y^*))|, \frac{|D_b(F(x^*), T(x^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right] \quad (1)$$

$\forall x, y \in M$ .

**Theorem 3.1**

Let  $W, V: M \rightarrow M$  are weakly compatible self mapping and  $(M, D_b)$  a dualistic partial b-metric space such that

- 1)  $W(M) \subseteq V(M)$
- 2)  $W$  is a generalize F- contraction

Then  $W$  and  $V$  have a Unique Common Fixed point.

**Proof:** Let we start with  $k_0^* \in M$

Since  $W(M) \subseteq V(M)$

choose  $k_1^* \in M$

s.t.  $W(k_0^*) = V(k_1^*)$

$$W(k_1^*) = V(k_2^*)$$

Let  $W(k_s^*) \neq W(k_{s-1}^*)$

And  $V(k_s^*) \neq V(k_{s+1}^*) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

By the equation (1), we have

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(V(k_s^*), V(k_{s+1}^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \\ |D_b(V(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \frac{|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\leq \beta \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \\ |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right]$$

If max

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \\ |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right] = |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|$$

Then,

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|$$

which is contradiction.

If max

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \\ |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right] = \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u}$$

Then

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \text{ [also } u \geq 1 \text{]} \text{ which is contradiction}$$

$$\text{Max} \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \\ |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|, \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right] =$$

$$|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|$$

hus

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|$$

By continuing in this way

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta^s |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2)$$

Now  $|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|$

$$\leq \beta \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(V(k_s^*), V(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|, \\ |D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|, \frac{|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{If } \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(V(k_s^*), V(k_s^*))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|, \\ |D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|, \frac{|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \end{array} \right] = |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \text{ [for } u \geq 1 \text{]}$$

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \leq \beta |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|$$

$$\leq \beta^s |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))|$$

Thus we have

$$|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq \beta^s |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \quad (3)$$

By equation (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \leq |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| - |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq \beta^s |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| + \beta^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \\ & \leq 2 \beta^s |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \\ & \leq 2 \beta^s \gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+2}^*)) \leq 2 \beta^{s+1} \gamma$$

For a fixed natural number  $q_1$ , we have

$$d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) \leq 2 \beta^{s+q_1-1} \gamma, \forall s \in \mathbb{N}$$

Now using by triangular inequality for  $d_{D_b}$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  are two +ve integer such that  $t \geq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) & \leq d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*)) + \\ & d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+2}^*)) + \dots + d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) \\ & \leq 2 \gamma [\beta^s + \beta^{s+1} + \dots + \beta^{s+q_1-1}] \end{aligned}$$

So put  $s + q_1 = t$

$$d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) \leq 2 \gamma \frac{\beta^s}{1-\beta} \quad (4)$$

By interchanging  $s$  and  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| & \leq |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| - \\ & |D_b(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \leq |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \leq \beta^s |D_b(W(k_1^*), W(k_0^*))| + \beta^{s+1} |D_b(W(k_1^*), W(k_0^*))| \\ & \leq \gamma (1 + \beta) \beta^s \\ \Rightarrow d_{D_b}(W(k_{n+2}^*), W(k_{n+1}^*)) & \leq \gamma (1 + \beta) \beta^{s+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now using by triangular inequality for  $d_{D_b}$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  are two +ve integer such that  $t \geq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1}^*), W(k_s^*)) & \leq d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*)) + \\ & \dots + d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*)) \\ & \leq \gamma (1 + \beta) [\beta^{s+q_1-1} + \dots + \beta^s] \\ & \leq \gamma (1 + \beta) \frac{\beta^s}{1-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{D_b}(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) \leq \gamma (1 + \beta) \frac{\beta^s}{1-\beta} \quad (5)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5),

$$d_{D_b}^s(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) \leq \max \left\{ 2\gamma \frac{\beta^s}{1-\beta}, \gamma (1 + \beta) \frac{\beta^s}{1-\beta} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow \{W(k_s^*)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(M, d_{D_b}^s)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(M, D_b)$  is a complete dualistic partial b-metric space,

By lemma 3.2,  $(M, d_{D_b}^s)$  is as well complete.

Then  $\exists$  an element  $k \in W(M) \subset M$

$$\text{Such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{D_b}^s(W(k_s^*), k) = 0$$

$$\text{By lemma 3.2, we get } |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_1^*))|$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_b(k, k) & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), k) = 0 = \\ \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) & = 0 \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

By equation (3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) = 0$$

And equation (5),

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) = 0$$

By equation (6), we get

$$D_b(k, k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), k) = 0$$

As  $k \in W(M) \subset V(M)$ ,  $\exists k_1 \in M$

Such that  $k = (V(k_1))$  and equation (3.7)

$$D_b(V(k_1), V(k_1)) = 0$$

$$\text{Also } 0 \leq d_{D_b}(V(k_1), W(k_1)) = D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1)) - D_b(V(k_1), V(k_1))$$

$$D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))$$

By the equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(V(k_{n+1}^*), W(k_1))| & = |D_b(W(k_n^*), W(k_1))| \\ & \leq \beta \max \left\{ |D_b(V(k_n^*), W(k_1))|, |D_b(W(k_n^*), W(k_1))|, \right. \\ & \left. |D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))|, \frac{|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \right\} \\ & \leq \beta \max \left\{ |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), V(k_1))|, |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_1))|, \right. \\ & \left. |D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))|, \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))| \leq \beta |D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))|$$

Implies  
 $D_b(V(k_1), W(k)) = 0 = D_b(V(k_1), V(k)) = D_b(W(k_1), W(k_1))$ .

By axioms (Db1),

$$\Rightarrow V(k_1) = W(k_1)$$

Thus  
 $k = V(k_1) = W(k_1)$  is a coincidence point of W and V.

Since W and V are weakly compatible mapping  $k = V(k_1) = W(k_1)$

$$\Rightarrow W(k) = WV(k_1) = VW(k_1) = V(k)$$

By equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_b(W(k_1), W(k))| \\ & \leq \beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(V(k_1), V(k))|, |D_b(W(k_1), W(k))|, \\ |D_b(V(k), W(k))|, \frac{|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))|}{u} \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq \beta |D_b(W(k), W(k))| \\ & \leq \beta |D_b(W(k_1), W(k))| \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $D_b(W(k_1), W(k)) = 0 = D_b(W(k_1), W(k_1)) = D_b(W(k), W(k))$

By axioms (Db1),

$$\Rightarrow W(k_1) = W(k)$$

Hence  $k = W(k_1) = W(k)$

$\Rightarrow k$  is common fixed point of W and V.

Uniqueness:

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(k, g)| &= |D_b(W(k), W(g))| \\ &\leq \beta \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(V(k), V(g))|, |D_b(W(k), W(g))|, \\ |D_b(V(g), W(g))|, \\ \frac{|D_b(V(k), W(k))|}{u} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \beta |D_b(k, g)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |D_b(k, g)| = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k = g$$

Hence k has a common fixed point of W and V.

Next we are presenting the Example of complete dualistic partial-metric space.

**Example 3.2:** Let  $N = \text{and } D_b: N \times N \rightarrow N$  by  $D_b(k, g) = \max\{k, g\} \forall k, g$

and define the mapping  $T, F: N \rightarrow N$  by

$$T(k) = \frac{k^5}{7} \text{ and } F(k) = \frac{k^5}{3} \quad \forall k \in N$$

Then  $(N, D_b)$  is a complete dualistic partial b-metric space

$$\text{Proof: } \left[ \frac{-1}{7}, 0 \right] = T(k) \subset F(k) = \left[ \frac{-1}{3}, 0 \right]$$

$F, T$  are weakly compatible :  $T(k) = T(F(k)) = F(T(k)) = F(k)$

$$T(F(k)) = T\left(\frac{k^5}{3}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{k^5}{3}\right)^5}{7} = \frac{k^5}{3^5 \times 7} = 0 \text{ for } k = 0$$

$$\text{and } F(T(k)) = F\left(\frac{k^5}{7}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{k^5}{7}\right)^5}{3} = \frac{k^5}{7^5 \times 3} = 0 \text{ for } k = 0$$

Implies that  $T(F(k)) = F(T(k))$

$F, T$  are weakly compatible mapping for coincidence point  $k = 0$

Without loss of generality let  $k > g$  and

Thus

$$|D_b(T(k), T(g))| = \left| \max \left\{ \frac{k^5}{7}, \frac{g^5}{7} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(F(k), F(g))| = \left| \max \left\{ \frac{k^5}{3}, \frac{g^5}{3} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{k^5}{3} \right|$$

$$|D_b(F(g), T(g))| = \left| \max \left\{ \frac{g^5}{3}, \frac{g^5}{7} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(T(k), F(k))| = \left| \max \left\{ \frac{k^5}{7}, \frac{k^5}{3} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right|$$

For generalize f-contraction mapping:

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(T(k), T(g))| &\leq \beta \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} |D_b(F(k), F(g))|, |D_b(T(k), T(g))|, \\ |D_b(F(g), T(g))|, \\ \frac{|D_b(T(k), F(k))|}{u} \end{array} \right] \\ \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right| &\leq \beta \max \left[ \left| \frac{k^5}{3} \right|, \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right|, \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|, \frac{1}{u} \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right| \right] \text{ Since } u \geq 1 \\ \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right| &\leq \beta \max \left[ \left| \frac{k^5}{7} \right| \right] \quad \forall k \in N \end{aligned}$$

Therefore F-contraction condition is hold and  $\beta = 1$ .

**Definition 3.3:** Let W and V be two self-mapping and dualistic partial b-metric space  $(M, D_b)$ . We say the mapping W, V a generalize F-contraction with constant  $u \geq 1$  such that

$$|D_b(W(x), W(y))| \leq a |D_b(V(x), W(x))| + b |D_b(V(y), W(y))|$$

$$+c \frac{[|D_b(V(x),W(y))|+|D_b(W(x),W(x))|]}{u} \quad (8)$$

$\forall x, y \in M$ , where  $a, b, c \geq 0$  and  $a + b + 2c < 1$

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $W, V: M \rightarrow M$  weakly compatible self-mappings and  $(M, D_b)$  a dualistic partial b-metric space such that

1)  $W(M) \subset V(M)$

2)  $W$  is a generalize F- contraction

Then  $W$  and  $V$  have a Unique Common Fixed point.

**Proof:** Let we start with  $k_0^* \in M$

Since  $W(M) \subseteq V(M)$

choose  $k_1^* \in M$

such that  $W(k_0^*) = V(k_1^*)$

Continue in similar way

$$W(k_s^*) = V(k_{s+1}^*)$$

If  $W(k_s^*) = V(k_{n-1}^*) = V(k_s^*)$  for same  $s \in N$

Then  $u = W(k_s^*) = V(k_s^*)$  is a coincidence point of  $W$  and  $V$ .

Let  $W(k_s^*) \neq W(k_{s-1}^*)$

And  $V(k_s^*) \neq V(k_{s+1}^*) \quad \forall n \in N$

By the equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \leq a |D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(V(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \quad + c \frac{[|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{u} \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + \\ & \quad c \frac{[|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{u} \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + \\ & \quad c \left[ \frac{u[|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))|]}{u} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} + \frac{|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \right] \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + \\ & \quad c [ |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| ] \\ & (1-b-c) |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \end{aligned}$$

$$+c |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| & \leq \frac{a+c}{1-b-c} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq \alpha |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \end{aligned}$$

and so on,

$$\leq \alpha^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \quad (9)$$

ere  $\alpha = \frac{a+c}{1-b-c}$  and  $a + b + 2c < 1$

Let  $k_s \in M$  then the, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \leq a |D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| + \\ & b |D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & + c \frac{[|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{u} \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + \\ & \quad c \frac{[|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{u} \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + \\ & \quad c \left[ \frac{u[|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{u} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} + \frac{|D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|}{u} \right] \\ & \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \quad + c [ |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| ] \\ & (1-c) |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \leq a |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + \\ & \quad b |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + c |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-c} |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq \alpha |D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \end{aligned}$$

and so on,  $\leq \alpha^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \quad (10)$

Where  $\alpha = \frac{a+b+c}{1-c}$  and  $a + b + 2c < 1$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & = |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ & \quad - |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ & \leq \alpha^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| + \alpha^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \\ & \leq 2\alpha^n |D_b(W(k_0^*), W(k_1^*))| \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed natural number  $q_1$ , we have



$$d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) \leq 2\alpha^{s+q_1-1}\gamma, \forall s \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{where } \gamma = |D_b(W(y_0^*), W(y_1^*))|$$

Now using by triangular inequality for  $d_{D_b}$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  are two +ve integer such that  $t \geq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) &\leq d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*)) + \\ &d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) + \dots + d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1}^*)) \\ &\leq 2\gamma[\alpha^s + \alpha^{s+1} + \dots + \alpha^{s+q_1-1}] \end{aligned}$$

So put  $s + q_1 = t$

$$d_{D_b}(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) \leq 2\gamma \frac{\alpha^s}{1-\alpha} \quad (11)$$

By interchanging  $s$  and  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| &\leq |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| \\ &\quad - |D_b(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ &\leq |d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + |D_b(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*))| \\ &\leq \alpha^s |D_b(W(k_1^*), W(k_0^*))| + \alpha^{s+1} |D_b(W(k_1^*), W(k_0^*))| \\ &\leq \gamma(1 + \alpha)\alpha^s \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+2}^*), W(k_{s+1}^*)) \leq \gamma(1 + \alpha)\alpha^{s+1}$  Now using by triangular inequality for  $d_{D_b}$ . Let  $s$  and  $t$  are two +ve integer such that  $t \geq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1}^*), W(k_s^*)) &\leq d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+q_1}^*), W(k_{s+q_1-1}^*)) + \\ &\dots + d_{D_b}(W(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_s^*)) \\ &\leq \gamma(1 + \alpha)[\alpha^{s+q_1-1} + \dots + \alpha^s] \\ &\leq \gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\alpha^s}{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{D_b}(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) \leq \gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\alpha^s}{1-\alpha} \quad (12)$$

Using (11) and (12),

$$d_{D_b}^s(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) \leq \max\left\{2\gamma \frac{\alpha^s}{1-\alpha}, \gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\alpha^s}{1-\alpha}\right\}$$

$\Rightarrow \{W(k_s^*)\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(M, d_{D_b}^s)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(M, D_b)$  is a complete dualistic partial b-metric space,

By lemma 3.2,  $(M, d_{D_b}^s)$  is as well complete.

Then  $\exists$  an element  $k \in W(M) \subset M$

$$\text{Such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{D_b}^s(W(k_s^*), k) = 0$$

By lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_b(k, k) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), k) = 0 = \\ \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By equation (10),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_t^*), W(k_s^*)) = 0$$

And equation (3.12),

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_t^*)) = 0$$

By equation (13), we get

$$D_b(k, k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(W(k_s^*), k) = 0 \quad (14)$$

as  $k \in W(M) \subset V(M), \exists k_1 \in M$

such that  $k = (V(k_1))$  and equation (14)

$$D_b(V(k_1), V(k_1)) = 0$$

$$\text{Also } 0 \leq d_{D_b}(V(k_1), W(k_1))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1)) - D_b(V(k_1), V(k_1)) \\ &= D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1)) \end{aligned}$$

By the equation (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(V(k_{s+1}^*), W(k_1))| &= |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_1))| \\ &\leq a|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))| + b|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))| + \\ &\quad c \frac{[|D_b(V(k_s^*), W(k_1))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{s} \\ &\leq a|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_s^*))| + b|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))| \\ &\quad + c \frac{[|D_b(W(k_{s-1}^*), W(k_1))| + |D_b(W(k_s^*), W(k_s^*))|]}{s} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))| \leq b|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))|$$

Implies

$$D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1)) = 0 = D_b(V(k_1), V(k_1)) = D_b(W(k_1), W(k_1)).$$

that

By axioms  $(Db1)$ ,

$$\Rightarrow V(k_1) = W(k_1)$$

Thus  $k = V(k_1) = W(k_1)$  is a coincidence point of  $W$  and  $V$ .

Since  $W$  and  $V$  are weakly compatible mapping  $k = V(k_1) = W(k_1)$

$$\Rightarrow W(k) = WV(k_1) = VW(k_1) = V(k)$$

By equation (8),

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b(W(k_1), W(k))| &\leq a|D_b(V(k_1), W(k_1))| + b|D_b(V(k), W(k))| \\ &\quad + c \frac{[|D_b(V(k_1), W(k))| + |D_b(W(k_1), W(k_1))|]}{s} \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq b |D_b(W(k), W(k))|$$

$$\leq b |D_b(W(k_1), W(k))|$$

Thus

$$D_b(W(k_1), W(k)) = 0 = D_b(W(k_1), W(k_1)) = D_b(W(k), W(k))$$

By axioms (Db1),

$$\Rightarrow W(k_1) = W(k)$$

Hence  $k = W(k_1) = W(k)$

Similarly  $V(k) = k$

That is  $k$  is a common fixed point of  $W$  and  $V$ .

**Example 3.3:** Assume that  $Y = [-1, 0]$

and  $D_b: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$  by  $D_b(g, h) = \max\{g, h\} \forall g, h \in Y$

Then  $(Y, D_b)$  is a complete dualistic partial  $b$ -metric space and define the mapping

$$T, F: Y \rightarrow Y \text{ by}$$

$$T(g) = \frac{g^5}{7} \text{ and } F(g) = \frac{g^5}{3} \forall g \in Y$$

**Proof:**  $[\frac{-1}{7}, 0] = T(g) \subset F(g) = [\frac{-1}{3}, 0]$

$F, T$  are weakly compatible :  $T(g) = T(F(g)) = F(T(g)) = F(g)$

Then  $T(F(g)) = T(\frac{g^5}{3}) = \frac{(\frac{g^5}{3})^5}{7} = \frac{g^5}{3^5 \times 7} = 0$  for  $g = 0$

And  $F(T(g)) = F(\frac{g^5}{7}) = \frac{(\frac{g^5}{7})^5}{3} = \frac{g^5}{7^5 \times 3} = 0$  for  $g = 0$

Implies that  $T(F(g)) = F(T(g))$

$F, T$  are weakly compatible mapping for coincidence point  $g = 0$

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $g > h$  and

Thus

$$|D_b(T(g), T(h))| = \left| \max\left\{\frac{g^5}{7}, \frac{h^5}{7}\right\} \right| = \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(F(g), T(g))| = \left| \max\left\{\frac{g^5}{3}, \frac{g^5}{7}\right\} \right| = \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(F(h), T(h))| = \left| \max\left\{\frac{h^5}{3}, \frac{h^5}{7}\right\} \right| = \left| \frac{h^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(F(g), T(h))| = \left| \max\left\{\frac{g^5}{3}, \frac{h^5}{7}\right\} \right| = \left| \frac{h^5}{7} \right|$$

$$|D_b(T(g), T(g))| = \left| \max\left\{\frac{g^5}{7}, \frac{g^5}{7}\right\} \right| = \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|$$

For generalize  $f$ -contraction mapping:

$$|D_b(T(g), T(h))| \leq a |D_b(F(g), T(g))| + b |D_b(F(h), T(h))|$$

$$+ c \frac{[|D_b(F(g), T(h))| + |D_b(T(g), T(g))|]}{s}$$

$$\left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right| \leq a \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right| + b \left| \frac{h^5}{7} \right| + c \left[ \frac{\left| \frac{h^5}{7} \right| + \left| \frac{g^5}{7} \right|}{s} \right]$$

$$s \geq 1 \text{ and } a, b, c \geq 0$$

Therefore generalize  $F$ -contraction condition is hold.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In the above proved fixed point theorems on ordered dualistic partial  $b$ -metric space, generalize  $f$ -contraction mapping is used. This result is generalized the result of Nazam and Arshad [24] and is an extension of their result.

**Acknowledgement:** We convey our sincere thanks to learned referee.

#### REFERENCES (CORRECTED)

- [1]. Abbas, M., Nazir, T., Radenović, S. (2011). Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 24(9) :1520-1526.
- [2]. Abbas, M., Rhoades, B. E. (2008). Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying a generalized contractive condition. *Mathematical Communications*, 13(2): 295-301.
- [3]. Arshad, M., Nazam, M., Beg, I. (2016). Fixed point theorems in ordered dualistic partial metric spaces. *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, 24(2): 169-179.
- [4]. Bejenaru, A., Pitea, A. (2016). Fixed point and best proximity point theorems on partial metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 7(4).
- [5]. Chauhan, S. S. New Contractive Conditions and Fixed Point. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis* Vol. 3, 2009, no. 8 : 385-392.
- [6]. Shukla, S. (2014). Partial  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 11(2) :703-711.
- [7]. Farajzadeh, A., Chuadchawna, P., Kaewcharoen, A. (2016). Fixed point theorems for  $(\alpha, \eta, \psi, \xi)$ -contractive multi-valued mappings on  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -complete partial metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9: 1977-1990.
- [8]. Jachymski, J. (1994). Common fixed point theorems for some families of maps. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 25 :925-934.
- [9]. Jungck, G. (1986). Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 9(4): 771-779.
- [10]. Jungck, G. (1996). Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self maps on non-metric spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FEJMS)* 4(1996): 199-215.

- [11] Matthews, S. G. (1994). Partial metric topology *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **728**(1) : 183-197.
- [12] Nazam, M., Arshad, M., Radenović, S., Turkoglu, D., Öztürk, V. (2017). Some fixed point results for dual contractions of rational type. *Mathematica Moravica*, **21**(1): 139-152.
- [13] Nazam, M., Arshad, M., Abbas, M. (2016). Some fixed point results for dualistic rational contractions. *Applied General Topology*, **17**(2): 199-209.
- [14] Nazam, M., Arshad, M., Park, C. (2016). Fixed point theorems for improved  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contractions in partial metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**(6): 4436-4449.
- [15] O'NEILL, S. J. (1996). Partial metrics, valuations, and domain theory. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **806**(1): 304-315.
- [16] Pant, R. P., Pant, V. (2000). Common fixed points under strict contractive conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **248**(1) :327-332.
- [17] Pant, R. P. (1998). Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **226**(1): 251-258.
- [18] Oltra, S., Valero, O. (2004). Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* Vol. **XXXVI**: 17–26.
- [19] Nazam, M., Arshad, M., Postolache, M. (2018). On common fixed point theorems in dualistic partial metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal.*, **9** : 76-89.
- [20] Romaguera, S. (2012). Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, **159**(1):194-199.
- [21] Shatanawi, W., Postolache, M. (2013). Common fixed point theorems for dominating and weak annihilator mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013**(1), Article ID 271.
- [22] Shatanawi, W., Postolache, M. (2013). Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013**(1), Article ID 54.
- [23] Bakhtin, I. A. (1989). The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Func. An., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk* **30** : 26-37
- [24] Czerwik, S. (1993) Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, **1**(1): 5-11.