



## Ideal and Distributive Lattice

Seema Bagora\*, C.L. Parihar\*\* and Madhu Tiwari\*\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, Prestige Institute of Technology, Indore, (MP), India

\*\*Indian Academy of Mathematics 15, Kaushaliyapuri, Chitawad Road, Indore, (MP), India

\*\*\*Department of Mathematics G.D.C. College, Ujjain, (MP) India

(Received 3 April, 2012 Accepted 25 April 2012)

**ABSTRACT :** In this paper we are giving some important results on Ideal of a Lattice.

**Keywords:** Distributive Lattice, Modular Lattice, Ideal, MSC2010: 06DXX, 06C05, 06B10

### I. INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this paper is to prove some significant results on Ideal. In section 1 we consider Lattice and Ideal. And in section 2, we think about Distributive and modular Lattice.

**Theorem:** The ideal kernel of a homomorphism is an ideal of Lattice L.

**Proof:**  $f: L \rightarrow L'$   
 $\ker f = \{x : f(x) = 0'\}$

as  $f(0) = 0'$

Therefore. Kerf is nonempty set.

i.e.  $0 \in \text{Kerf}$  now,

first we prove  $\ker f \subseteq L$ .

$0 \in \ker f \quad 0 \in L \Rightarrow 0 \wedge 0 \in \ker f$

If  $a, b \in \ker f$  then  $f(a) = 0'$  and  $f(b) = 0'$

as  $f$  is homomorphism therefore

$\Rightarrow f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$

$\Rightarrow 0' \wedge 0'$

$\Rightarrow 0'$

$\Rightarrow a \wedge b \in \ker f$

$\Rightarrow$  Therefore kerf is sub lattice of L.

let  $a \in L, i \in I$

as  $i \in I$  therefore  $f(i) = 0'$

$f(a \wedge i) = f(a) \wedge f(i)$

$\Rightarrow f(a) \wedge 0'$

$\Rightarrow 0'$

$\Rightarrow a \wedge i \in \ker f$

$\Rightarrow$  Therefore it is clear that kerf is an ideal of lattice L.

**Theorem:** Every congruence relation of  $L \times K$  is of this form in lattice but not true in Abelian group.

**Proof:** First part is proved in [gratzer]. Now let  $\psi$  be a congruence relation on  $L \times K$ . For  $a, b \in L$  define  $a \equiv b(\phi)$  if  $f(a, c) \equiv (b, c) (\phi)$  for some  $c \in K$ . Let  $d_k$ .

Joining both sides with  $(a \wedge b, d)$  and then meeting with  $(a \vee b, d)$ , we get  $(a, d) (b, d) (\psi)$ ; thus  $(a, c) \equiv (b, c)$  for some  $c \in K$  is equivalent to  $(a, c) \equiv (b, c)$  for all  $c \in K$ .

Similarly,

define for  $a, b \in K, a \equiv b (\Theta)$  if  $f(c, a) \equiv (c, b) (\psi)$  for all  $c \in L$ . It is easily seen that  $\phi$  and  $\Theta$  are congruences. Let  $(a, b) \equiv (c, d) (\phi \times \psi)$ ; then  $(a, x) \equiv (c, X) (\psi), (y, b) \equiv (y, d) (\psi)$ , for all  $x \in K$  and  $y \in L$ . Joining the two with  $y = a \wedge c$  and  $x = b \wedge d$  we get  $(a, b) (c, d) (\psi)$ . Finally, let  $(a, b) (c, a) (\psi)$ . Meeting with  $(a \vee c, b \wedge d)$ , we get  $(a, b \wedge d) (c, b \wedge d) (\psi)$ ; therefore,  $a \equiv c (\phi)$ . Similarly,  $b \equiv d (\Theta)$ ,

and so  $(a, b) \equiv (c, d) (\phi \times \Theta)$

proving that  $y \equiv \phi \times \Theta$ .

In above we defined  $a \leq b$ . which implies  $a \wedge b = a$  and  $a \vee b = b$  But if we consider abelian group then it is not possible.

**Theorem:**  $I(L)$  is complemented lattice iff  $L$  has zero.

**Proof:** Let  $I(L)$  is complemented lattice. If  $a \in I(L)$  then there exist  $a'$  such that  $a \wedge a' = 0$  and  $a \vee a' = 1$  i.e.  $I(L)$  has a zero. And as  $I(L) \subseteq L$ . Therefore  $L$  has a zero.

Suppose that  $L$  has a zero. i.e.  $0 \in L$ .  $I(L)$  is an ideal of  $L$ .

$0 \in L$  and  $a \in I(L)$  then  $0 \wedge a \in I(L)$

$\Rightarrow 0 \in I(L)$

As  $I(L)$  is a sub lattice of  $L$ . Therefore there exist  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ . By duality there exists  $1 \in I(L)$ . As  $0$  and  $1 \in I(L)$ . Therefore there exist  $a \vee b$ .

Because  $0' = 1$  and  $1' = 0$ . and as  $a \wedge b = 0$  and  $a \vee b$ . therefore  $I(L)$  is complemented lattice.

Cor. If  $I(L)$  is complemented then it is complete lattice.

**Theorem:** If  $L$  be a relatively complemented lattice,  $I, J \in I(L)$ , and  $I \subseteq J$ . , if  $I$  is an intersection of prime ideals, then so is  $J$ .

**Proof:** As  $J$  is superset then by the definition  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals.

$I \subseteq J$ .

It is given that  $I$  is an intersection of prime ideals

i.e.  $I = \bigcap (P_1 \cap P_2) = I \subseteq J$

$\Rightarrow P_1 \cap P_2 = J$

## Section 2

**Theorem:** If  $L$  is a distributive lattice then so is  $I(L)$ .

**Proof:** It is given that  $L$  is distributive lattice. We have to prove  $I(L)$  is also distributive lattice.

As  $I(L)$  is ideal. Therefore  $a \wedge i_1 \in I(L)$ ,  $a \in L$ ,  $i_1 \in I$

$a \wedge i_2 \in I(L)$ ,  $a \in L$ ,  $i_2 \in I$

As  $I(L)$  is a sublattice of  $L$ .

Therefore  $(a \wedge i_1) \vee (a \wedge i_2) \in I(L)$

$\Rightarrow a \wedge (i_1 \vee i_2) \in I(L)$

$\Rightarrow I(L)$  is a distributive lattice.

**Theorem :** If  $L$  is modular iff  $I(L)$  is modular

**Proof:** If  $L$  is modular, then every sub lattice of  $L$  is also modular; and as  $I(L)$  is a sublattice therefore it is modular. Conversely, let  $L$  be non modular,

let  $a, b, c \in L$ ,  $a \leq b$ , and let  $(u \wedge c) \vee b + a \wedge (c \vee b)$ .

The free lattice generated by

$a, b$ , and  $c$  with  $a \geq b$ . Therefore, the sublattice of  $L$  i.e.  $I(L)$  generated by  $a, b$ , and  $c$  must be a homomorphic image of pentagon. If any two of the five elements  $a \wedge c$ ,  $(a \wedge c) \vee b$ ,  $a \wedge (b \vee c)$ ,  $b \vee c$ ,  $c$  are identified under a homomorphism, then so are  $(a \wedge c) \vee b$  and  $a \wedge (b \vee c)$ . Consequently, these five elements are distinct in  $L$ , and they form a pentagon.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 2nd ed., newyork (1948).
- [2] R. Freese, G. Gratzer, and E.T. Schmidt, On complete congruence lattices of complete modular lattices, *International J. of Algebra and Computation* **1**, pp. 147-160(1991).
- [3] G. Gratzer, Lattice theory. First concepts and distributive lattices. (W.H. Freeman and Company San Francisco, 1971).
- [4] G. Gratzer and E.T. Schmidt, Algebraic lattices as congruence lattices: The m-complete case, The Birkhoff meeting (1991, Darmstadt).