



Common Fixed Point in Hilbert Space by Ishikawa Iterations

Arvind Kumar Sharma*, V.H. Badshah** and V.K. Gupta***

* Department of Engineering Mathematics, M.I.T.M., Ujjain (MP) India

** School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (MP) India

*** Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science College, Ujjain (MP) India

(Corresponding author Arvind Kumar Sharma)
(Received 26 February 2014, Accepted 02 April, 2014)

ABSTRACT: In this present paper we have shown that for mappings T_1 and T_2 on Hilbert space satisfying rational condition (10), if the sequence of Ishikawa iterates converges, it converges to common fixed point of mappings T_1 and T_2 . Our purpose here is to generalize the result [9, 15].

Keywords and Phrases. Common fixed point, Hilbert space, Contraction mappings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent time there are various iteration methods are applied to fixed point problem of operators. Two general iterations which have been applied are, Mann iteration scheme [7] and Ishikawa scheme [5]. The Mann iteration scheme was introduced by W. Robert Mann [7] and applied to fixed point problems.

Let C be a nonempty convex subset of normed space X . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be two mappings. The Mann iteration scheme is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_1 = x \in C,$$

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - b_n)x_n + b_n T x_n, \quad n \in N$$

where N denotes the set of all positive integer and $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$.

Hicks and Kubicek [4], Rhoades [11,12], Singh [16,17] have been shown that for mapping T satisfying certain contractive condition, if the sequence of the Mann iterates converges it converges to a fixed point of T .

The Ishikawa Scheme [5] was introduced by Shiro Ishikawa and it used to establish the strong convergence for a Lipschitzian pseudo-contractive mapping of a compact convex subset E of Hilbert space X into itself.

In the Ishikawa Scheme $\{\alpha_{2n}\}, \{\beta_{2n}\}$ satisfies $0 \leq \alpha_{2n} \leq \beta_{2n} \leq 1$ for all n . $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum \alpha_{2n} \beta_{2n} = \infty$. In this paper we shall make assumptions that:

- (i) $0 \leq \alpha_{2n} \leq \beta_{2n} \leq 1$ for all n ,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{2n} = \alpha_0 > 0$.
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n} = \beta_0 > 1$.

Let X be a Banach space and let C be a nonempty subset of X . Let T_1 and $T_2 : C \rightarrow C$ be two mappings.

The iteration scheme, called I -scheme, is defined as follows:

$$x_0 \in C \tag{1}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_{2n} &= \beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n}, \quad n \geq 0 \\ x_{2n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_{2n}) x_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} T_2 y_{2n}, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{2}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_{2n+1} &= \beta_{2n+1} T_1 x_{2n+1} + (1 - \beta_{2n+1}) x_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 0 \\ x_{2n+2} &= (1 - \alpha_{2n+1}) x_{2n+1} + \alpha_{2n+1} T_2 y_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3}$$

Naimpally and Singh [8] , Kalinde and Rhoades[6] , Rhoades [13] , Sayyed and Badshah [15] shown that or a mapping T or pair of mappings T_1 and T_2 satisfying a contractive condition,if the sequence of Ishikawa iterates converges,it converges to the fixed point of T or pair of mappings T_1 and T_2 .

We know that Banach space is Hilbert space if and only if its norm satisfies the parallelogram law, i.e., for every $x, y \in X$.

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad \dots(4)$$

which implies,

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad \dots(5)$$

we often use this inequality throughout the result.

In 1974, S. Ishikawa [5] proved a certain sequence of points which is iteratively defined converges always to a fixed point of a Lipschitzian pseudo-contractive mapping T :

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\| \quad \text{for } x, y \text{ in } E \text{ and } L \text{ is positive number} \quad \dots(6)$$

We prove the result concerning the existence of common fixed point of pairs of mappings satisfying the contractive condition of the type

$$\|T_1x - T_2y\| \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x - T_1x\|^2}{(1 + \|x - y\|^2)} \right\} \|y - T_2y\|^2$$

Theorem 1: Let X be a Hilbert space and let C be a closed convex subset of X . Let T_1 and T_2 be two mappings satisfying

$$\|T_1x - T_2y\| \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x - T_1x\|^2}{(1 + \|x - y\|^2)} \right\} \|y - T_2y\|^2 \quad \dots(7)$$

Where $\alpha + \beta < 1/4$.

If there exists a point x_0 such that the I - scheme for T_1 and T_2 defined by (2) and (3), converges to a point u ,

$x_{2n} \rightarrow u, \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$. then u is a common point of T_1 and T_2 .

Proof: It follows from (2) that $x_{2n+1} - x_{2n} = \alpha_{2n}(T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n})$. Since $x_{2n} \rightarrow u, \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\{\alpha_{2n}\}$ is bounded away from zero, $\|Ty_{2n} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\|u - Ty_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since T_1 and T_2 satisfy (7) we have,

$$\|T_1x_{2n} - T_2y_{2n}\|^2 \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2)} \right\} \|y_{2n} - T_2y_{2n}\|^2 \quad \dots(8)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n}T_1x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n}T_1x_{2n} + x_{2n} - \beta_{2n}x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n}(T_1x_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\ &= \beta_{2n}^2 \|(T_1x_{2n} - T_2y_{2n}) + (T_2y_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|T_1x_{2n} - T_2y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|(T_2y_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \quad \dots(9) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n} + \beta_{2n} T_2 y_{2n} - \beta_{2n} T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n} (T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}) + (1 - \beta_{2n}) (x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\beta_{2n}^2 \|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2(1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \quad \dots(10)
\end{aligned}$$

From (9) and (10), (8) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 &\leq \left[\alpha + \beta \frac{\{2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2\}}{\{1 + (2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)\}} \right] \{2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2\} \\
&\leq 2\alpha\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\alpha\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\beta\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\beta\|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&\leq 2(\alpha + \beta)\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta)\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\
\text{i.e. } \|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 &\leq \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)}{1 - 2(\alpha + \beta)} \|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. It follows that

$$\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \leq 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{and } \|u - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \leq 2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 &\leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \|u - T_2 u\|^2 \\
&= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \|u - x_{2n} + x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 \\
&= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \{2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2\} \\
&= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \{2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 4\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 4\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2\}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $(1 - 4\alpha)\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 \leq 0$ It follows that $\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Finally } \|u - T_2 u\|^2 &= \|u - T_1 x_{2n} + T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|u - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 u\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u = T_2 u$.

Similarly we can prove that $u = T_1 u$

Thus u is a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes the proof.

Letting $T_1 = T_2 = T$ in above theorem, we obtain the following

Corollary: Let X be a Hilbert space and C be a closed convex subset of X . Let T be a self mapping satisfying (7). If there exists a point x_0 such that the I - scheme for T defined by

$$y_n = \beta_n T x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_n) x_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) x_n + \alpha_n T y_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

converges to a point u , then u is fixed point of T .

In the Ishikawa Scheme $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfies $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq 1$ for all n .

$$\lim \beta_n = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \sum \alpha_n \beta_n = 0.$$

Assuming that

(i) $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1$, for all n .

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha > 0$,

(iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \beta > 1$.

Theorem 2: Let X be a Hilbert space and let C be a closed convex subset of X . Let T_1 and T_2 be two mappings satisfying for some positive integers s and t :

$$\|T_1^s x - T_2^t y\| \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x - T_1^s x\|^2}{(1 + \|x - y\|^2)} \right\} \|y - T_2^t y\| \quad \dots(11)$$

Where $\alpha + \beta < 1/4$.

If there exists a point x_0 such that the I - scheme for T_1 and T_2 defined by (2) and (3), converges to a point u ,

$$x_{2n} \rightarrow u, \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0. \text{ then } u \text{ is a common point of } T_1 \text{ and } T_2.$$

Proof: It follows from (2) that $x_{2n+1} - x_{2n} = \alpha_{2n} (T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n})$.

Since $x_{2n} \rightarrow u, \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\{\alpha_{2n}\}$ is bounded away from zero, $\|T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows that $\|u - T_2^t y_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since T_1 and T_2 satisfy (7) we have,

$$\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2)} \right\} \|y_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \quad \dots(12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } \|y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n}T_1^s x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n}T_1^s x_{2n} + x_{2n} - \beta_{2n}x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n}(T_1^s x_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\
&= \beta_{2n}^2 \|(T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}) + (T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|(T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \quad \dots(13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and } \|y_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n}T_1^s x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n}T_1^s x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n} + \beta_{2n}T_2^t y_{2n} - \beta_{2n}T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_{2n}(T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}) + (1 - \beta_{2n})(x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n})\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\beta_{2n}^2 \|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2(1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \quad \dots(14)
\end{aligned}$$

From (13) and (14),(12) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 &\leq \left[\alpha + \beta \frac{\left\{ 2\|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2^t y_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 \right\}}{\left\{ 1 + \left(2\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \right) \right\}} \right] \left\{ 2\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \right\} \\
&\leq 2\alpha\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\alpha\|T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\beta\|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\beta\|T_2^t y_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&\leq 2(\alpha + \beta)\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2(\alpha + \beta)\|T_2^t y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \leq \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)}{1 - 2(\alpha + \beta)} \|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. It follows that

$$\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 \leq 2\|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2^t y_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

and $\|u - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 \leq 2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2^t y_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$.

From (11)

$$\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \leq \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \|u - T_2^t u\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \|u - x_{2n} + x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \\
 &= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \left\{ 2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \alpha + \beta \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2}{(1 + \|x_{2n} - u\|^2)} \right\} \left\{ 2\|u - x_{2n}\|^2 + 4\|x_{2n} - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 + 4\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $(1 - 4\alpha)\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \leq 0$ It follows that $\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Finally } \|u - T_2^t u\|^2 &= \|u - T_1^s x_{2n} + T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \\
 &\leq 2\|u - T_1^s x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_1^s x_{2n} - T_2^t u\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u = T_2^t u$.

Similarly we can prove that $u = T_1^s u$

Thus u is a unique common fixed point of T_1^s and T_2^t . Hence u is common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

REFERENCES

[1]. Ciric, Lj. B. (1971). Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **12**(26), 19-26.
 [2]. Dass, B.K. and Gupta, S. (1975). An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Math.*, **6**, 1455-1458.
 [3]. Dubey, B.N. Generalization of fixed point theorems of Naimpally and Singh, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, Vol. **17**, M.3, 509-514.
 [4]. Hicks, T.L. and Kubicek, J.D. (1977). On the Mann iteration process in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **59**, 498-504.
 [5]. Ishikawa, S. (1974). Fixed points by a new iteration method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **44**, 147-150.
 [6]. Kalinde, A.K. and Rhoades, B.E. (1992). Fixed point Ishikawa iterations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **170**, 600-606.
 [7]. Mann, W.R. (1958). Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**, MR 14, 988506-510.
 [8]. Naimpally, S.A. and Singh, K.L. (1983). Extensions of some fixed point theorem of Rhoades, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **96**, 437-446.
 [9]. Pandhare, D.M. and Waghmode, B.B. (1997). Generalized contractions and common fixed point theorems in Hilbert Spaces, *Acta Ciencia Indica XXII M* : 145-150.

[10]. Pathak, H.K. and Dubey, R.P. (1990). Extensions of fixed point theorem of Naimpally and Singh, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **21**(10), 889-891.
 [11]. Rhoades, B.E. (1978). Extensions of some fixed points theorems of Ciric, Maiti and Pal., *Maths. Sem. Notes*, Kobe Univ. **6**, 41-46.
 [12]. Rhoades, B.E. (1974). Fixed points theorems using infinite matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73** 161-176.
 [13]. Rhoades, B.E. (1994). Convergence of an Ishikawa-Type iteration scheme for a Generalized contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **185**, 350-355.
 [14]. Rhoades, B.E. (1976). Comments on two fixed point iteration methods, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **56**, 741-750.
 [15]. Sayyed, F. and Badshah, V.H. (2001). Generalized contractions and common fixed point theorem in Hilbert Space, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **22**(2): 267-275.
 [16]. Singh, K.L. (1979). Fixed points iteration using infinite matrices, in "Applied Nonlinear Analysis" (V. Lakshmikantham Ed.), Academic Press, New York, pp.689-703.
 [17]. Singh, K.L. (1978). Generalized contractions and sequence of iterates, in "Nonlinear Equations in Abstract Spaces"(V. Lakshmikantham Ed.), Academic Press, New York, pp.439-462.